Underlying causes for long-term global ocean $\delta^{13}C$ fluctuations over the last 1.20 Myr
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Abstract

Pleistocene stable carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) records from surface and deep dwelling foraminifera in all major ocean basins show two distinct long-term carbon isotope fluctuations since 1.00 Ma. The first started around 1.00 Ma and was characterised by a 0.35‰ decrease in $\delta^{13}C$ values until 0.90 Ma, followed by an increase of 0.60‰ lasting until 0.50 Ma. The subsequent fluctuation started with a 0.40‰ decrease between 0.50 and 0.25 Ma, followed by an increase of 0.30‰ between 0.25 and 0.10 Ma. Here, we evaluate existing evidence and various hypotheses for these global Pleistocene $\delta^{13}C$ fluctuations and present an interpretation, where the fluctuations most likely resulted from concomitant changes in the burial fluxes of organic and inorganic carbon due to ventilation changes and/or changes in the production and export ratio. Our model indicates that to satisfy the long-term 'stability' of the Pleistocene lysocline, the ratio between the amounts of change in the organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes would have to be close to a 1:1 ratio, as deviations from this ratio would lead to sizable variations in the depth of the lysocline. It is then apparent that the mid-Pleistocene climate transition, which, apart from the glacial cycles, represents the most fundamental change in the Pleistocene climate, was likely not associated with a fundamental change in atmospheric $pCO_2$. While recognising that high frequency glacial/interglacial cycles are associated with relatively large (100 ppmv) changes in $pCO_2$, our model scenario (with burial changes close to a 1:1 ratio) produces a maximum long-term variability of only 20ppmv over the fluctuation between 1.00 and 0.50 Ma.
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1. Introduction

Systematic oceanic carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) shifts are commonly used indicators of change in the global car-
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superimposed $\delta^{13}$C variability associated with the 41 to 100-kyr glacial cycles.

Two global long-term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations can be identified during the last 1.20 Myr in records from both surface dwelling (planktonic) and bottom dwelling (benthic) foraminifera from all major ocean basins (Fig. 1, Supplementary information 1). Planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records reflect conditions in the surface layer with a high potential variability on regional scales while benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records are more indicative of long-term stabilised properties in the oceanic deep-water reservoir that forms $>75\%$ of the global ocean volume. Therefore we focus on the benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records.

During the last 1.20 Myr, benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records show similar long-term trends (i.e. trends exceeding variations on times scales up to and including glacial/interglacial time scales) (Fig. 2). The two long-term fluctuations recognized have been termed the Mid-Pleistocene $\delta^{13}$C Fluctuation (MPCF; 1.00–0.50 Ma) and the Late-Pleistocene $\delta^{13}$C Fluctuation (LPCF; 0.50–0.10 Ma). $\delta^{13}$C differences between the sites are related to water-mass ageing and mixing (Fig. 2). Today, the $\delta^{13}$C value of freshly formed North Atlantic Deep Water is $\sim 1\%_o$, decreasing to $\sim 0\%_o$ en route to the North Pacific, through mixing with Southern Ocean Water and continued remineralization of organic matter [11]. Despite these relative offsets between records from different basins, they all show the same systematic Pleistocene long-term $\delta^{13}$C variability, thus confirming the global nature of these fluctuations (Fig. 2).

Initial explanations of the ca. $0.35\%$ drop in $\delta^{13}$C values at the start of the MPCF maintained that a drop in the mean ocean $\delta^{13}$C was due to a one-time net addition of $^{12}$C-enriched carbon to the world ocean [12]. This could have been caused by: (1) an increase in continental weathering and runoff; (2) an addition of organic matter to the ocean/atmosphere carbon reservoir from continental shelves; or (3) a global increase in aridity and decrease in the size of the biosphere across the 41 to 100-kyr transition [12]. The first two options were discarded as such processes would also enhance ocean nutrient concentrations and thus increase vertical $\delta^{13}$C gradients and the spatial $\delta^{13}$C gradient between the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans [13], whereas records suggest that these gradients remained relatively constant [12]. Raymo et al. [12] rule out a shift in the dominance of C3/C4 terrestrial ecosystems, as this would require a relatively large shift. In addition, the size of the terrestrial biosphere would have to decrease by more than $60\%$ in order to explain the drop in $\delta^{13}$C values at the start of the MPCF, which seems unlikely [12].

The MPCF is approximately coincident with the mid-Pleistocene Climate Transition (MPT), which is marked by an increase in mean global ice volume and a shift from 41-kyr to 100-kyr ice-age cycles [12]. The timing of the initiation of the LPCF can be broadly linked to the mid-Brunhes event which has also been associated with an increase in global ice volume [14]. It has been suggested that the ice-sheet expansion at the mid-Brunhes event might have been a reaction to changes in the global carbon cycle in relation to low-latitude upper ocean changes [15].

![Fig. 1. Locations of all published records where, depending on the length of the record, either one or two of the long-term Pleistocene carbon isotope fluctuations can be recognized. Black circles represent locations with benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records; open circles sites with planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records; and grey circles show locations with both benthic and planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records. Foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records are shown in Supplementary information 1.](image-url)
Hence, alternative hypotheses in relation to the global carbon cycle need to be considered for the observed Pleistocene $\delta^{13}$C shifts.

2. Method

2.1. Stacked benthic foraminiferal carbon isotope record

In order to assess the Pleistocene benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records, we compare several benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records (ODP Sites 502, 659, 758, 849, and 1143 [6–10]) that are all based on the same epibenthic foraminiferal species (Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi) (Fig. 2). Thus possible anomalies associated with faunai al habitats are avoided. The records were selected on the basis of the reliability of the respective age models and continuous coverage (average sample resolution <10 kyr and avoidance of records with repeated major (>30 kyr) age gaps) throughout the last 1.20 Myr. Other available benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records also show the long term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations, but were not used because they did not fulfill the above criteria. We also avoided using benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records from high productivity areas, as low carbonate ion concentrations may lead to $\delta^{13}$C enrichment in foraminiferal calcite [16,17].

All records used for this study were standardized to a common timescale, with astronomically calibrated ages of 0.778 Ma (Matuyama/Brunhes) and 0.990 Ma (Upper Jaramillo) assigned to the magnetic reversal boundaries [18,19]. Ages for $\delta^{18}$O stages are derived from [20] until $\delta^{18}$O event 15.1 and then after [8,18, and,21] for older stages.

To elucidate the global trends, we constructed individual smoothed benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records, using a 60-kyr moving Gaussian filter to reduce variations on glacial/interglacial or shorter time scales (Fig. 2). A higher frequency filter picks up more of the glacial/interglacial variability, which we are explicitly excluding from this study. A lower frequency filter (100-kyr) interferes with the apparent timing (up to 20 kyr compared with the 60-kyr smoothing) of extremes that represent long-term changes in the $\delta^{13}$C records. Hence the 60-kyr smoothing frequency is most suitable for examining long-term changes in the carbon cycle of the type considered here.

After smoothing, the filtered records were standardized to a modern value of 0.38‰ so that its present-day value matches the mean $\delta^{13}$C value for total carbonate in recent deep-sea sediments [22] (Fig. 3). This shift is needed because our calculations require not only relative but also absolute values. The basis for shifting the filtered benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C records is supported by the fact that the actual mean core-top value for the records used is nearly identical to the mean value for modern deep-sea sediments (~0.38‰) [22]. The very high level of agreement between the various standardized records again emphasises the systematic nature of the Pleistocene long-term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations, which affected both benthic and planktonic foraminiferal records in all major ocean basins (see Supplementary information 1). Finally, a mean value was calculated for the records in 1000 yr increments giving the standardised ‘mean’ record presented in Fig. 3.
Five ‘nodes’, marked in Fig. 3, identify major changes in the δ13C values within the stacked benthic foraminiferal record. The first δ13C fluctuation in δ13Cstacked, or the MPCR, started with a drop in δ13C of ~0.35‰ between 1.00 and 0.90 Ma (nodes 1 and 2), followed by an increase of 0.60‰ between 0.90 and 0.50 Ma (nodes 2 and 3) (Fig. 3). The second fluctuation, or the LPCR, started with a drop in δ13C of 0.40‰ between 0.50 and 0.25 Ma (nodes 3 and 4), followed by an increase of 0.30‰ from 0.25 to 0.10 Ma (nodes 4 and 5). Note, although the assignment of an age to node 4 is somewhat less obvious than for the other nodes, a precise date for this feature is not required in the models developed below.

2.2. Mechanistic box model

In order to evaluate possible causes for the two long-term δ13C fluctuations we use the 3-box model of ocean nutrient and carbon cycling coupled to atmospheric carbon dioxide from Chuck et al. [23]. The 3-box model includes phytoplankton and the chemical tracers (state variables) of phosphate, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkalinity. CaCO3 dissolution is modelled without explicit sediment chemistry, but with a dynamic lysocline whose depth is calculated as a function of the carbonate ion concentration in the deep box. CaCO3 burial is therefore calculated as a function of the CaCO3 sinking flux and the fraction of the seafloor receptive to CaCO3 burial (calculated from the lysocline depth and ocean hypsometry). The model also includes δ12C and δ13C as state variables in the ocean boxes, and 12CO2 and 13CO2 as state variables in the atmosphere to which it is coupled. Model runs were therefore executed with feedbacks between (1) ocean phosphate and organic export burial, (2) deep ocean carbonate ion concentration and CaCO3 burial, and (3) δ13C of seawater and δ13C of the inorganic and organic carbon burial fluxes (Forg and Fino respectively).

The model has open boundaries (river inputs and burial outputs) and is therefore not a closed system. Carbonate compensation is included in the model, with the model automatically converging towards a balance between river (weathering) inputs and CaCO3 burial outputs over periods exceeding ~10 kyr (carbonate compensation e-folding response time). The model has no direct representation of the respiratory dissolution process because it contains no explicit sediments. It is however important to note that respiratory dissolution does not generally decouple the lysocline from the saturation horizon [24]. A detailed model description is presented in Supplementary information 2.

The following six scenarios are considered to explain the long-term δ13C fluctuations:

1. δ13Cstacked changes are driven by changes in the carbon isotopic ratio of the input (δin). These changes could be caused by changes in the relative weathering rates of organic and inorganic carbon or by a shift in the dominance of C3/C4 terrestrial ecosystems.
2. Changes in δ13Cstacked reflect changes in the δ13C of organic carbon burial (δorg). δorg variations can result from changes in fractionation during the formation of organic matter.
3. The changes in δ13Cstacked are caused by changes in the isotopic composition of inorganic carbon burial (δino). Possible causes for such changes could include changes in productivity in surface waters through changes in nutrient supply.
4. Changes in δ13Cstacked reflect changes in the input of carbon through rivers (Friv). Changes in Friv could result from changes in the weathering rate of soils or rocks, or changes in the amount of weatherable carbon in the source rock or soil.
5. Fluctuations in δ13Cstacked relate to variations in the organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes (Forg and Fino), either caused by (a) changes in burial preservation with the applied changes following the approximate global Forg and Fino ratio of 1:4 [25], or (b) changes in the production- and export rain ratio (ratio between organic and inorganic carbon
Table 1
Changes required in the 6 different scenarios in order to explain the MPCF and LPCF (see text for full details of different scenarios)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario age (Ma)</th>
<th>~1.20–1.00</th>
<th>1.00–0.90</th>
<th>0.90–0.50</th>
<th>0.50–0.25</th>
<th>0.25–0.10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(nodes 1–2)</td>
<td>(nodes 2–3)</td>
<td>(nodes 3–4)</td>
<td>(nodes 4–5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta^{13} )C of input and output (in %o)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1 ( \delta_{iv} )</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>-4.65</td>
<td>-3.60</td>
<td>-4.17</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2 ( \delta_{org} )</td>
<td>-21.5</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
<td>-24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 3 mixing coefficient (relative to 1.20–1.00 Ma)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input and output fluxes (g C yr(^{-1}) ( \times ) 10(^{14}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{iv} ) (4a)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{iv} ) (4b)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) ( F_{org}, F_{ino} ) [( \delta_{1}:4 )]</td>
<td>0.48 / 1.92</td>
<td>0.38 / 1.52</td>
<td>0.52 / 2.08</td>
<td>0.45 / 1.80</td>
<td>0.53 / 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) P-ratio</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0237</td>
<td>0.0324</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>0.0314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) ( F_{org}, F_{ino} ) [( \delta_{1}:1 )]</td>
<td>0.48 / 1.92</td>
<td>0.39 / 1.83</td>
<td>0.50 / 1.94</td>
<td>0.46 / 1.90</td>
<td>0.51 / 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) P-ratio</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario 3 shows the changes that are needed in vertical ocean mixing/productivity to reproduce the \( \delta^{13} \)C\(_{\text{stacked}} \) fluctuations, relative to the ocean mixing values of 1.20–1.00 Ma (between 1.00 and 0.90 Ma vertical mixing was reduced to 80% compared to mixing between 1.20 and 1.00 Ma). Calculations for scenario 4 are split up in 4a (no nutrient changes) and 4b (nutrients allowed to change concurrently by 50%). Calculations for scenarios 5 and 6 are split up in 5a and 6a (driven by preservation changes with no carbonate compensation) and 5b and 6b (driven by changes in the production ratio [P-ratio] allowing carbonate compensation).

exported from the sea surface), with a ratio of change of 1:4.

6. \( \delta^{13} \)C\(_{\text{stacked}} \) fluctuations are caused by variations in the organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes (\( F_{org} \) and \( F_{ino} \)), again caused by (a) changes in burial preservation or (b) by changes in the production- and export rain ratio, but with a ratio of change of 1:1.

Changes in the carbon burial fluxes (scenarios 5 and 6) can be linked to changes in the rain rate (carbonate particles falling to the sea-floor) and preservation. Changes in the latter are likely a result of changes in dissolution linked to bottom water ventilation, and scenario 6a, with \( \Delta F_{org}: \Delta F_{ino} = 1:1 \), in this context represents respiratory dissolution through an adherence to the stoichiometry of the schematic equations: \( \text{CH}_4 \text{O} + \text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}^+ + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \).

3. Results

The changes that are needed in the different parameters in order to establish the observed fluctuations in

Fig. 4. Planktonic (grey) and benthic (black) foraminiferal \( \delta^{13} \)C records for the six scenarios. Note that all benthic foraminiferal \( \delta^{13} \)C records are narrowly constrained, whereas large differences can be observed between the different planktonic foraminiferal \( \delta^{13} \)C records. For scenario 4 two calculations were executed where changes in the input of carbon through rivers is (a) not accompanied by simultaneous input of nutrients, and (b) supplemented by concurrent 50% changes in nutrient input. Numbers at the end of each \( \delta^{13} \)C-record indicate each scenario. For scenarios 5 and 6 two calculations were executed with burial changes caused by variations in (a) preservation and (b) the production ratio.
Fig. 5. Modelling results using the mechanistic box model derived from Chuck et al. [23], with (a) surface ocean phytoplankton productivity, (b) phosphate content in the surface, middle and deep ocean, (c) DIC in the surface middle and deep ocean, (d) carbonate ion concentration of the surface and deep ocean, (e) atmospheric $p$CO$_2$, (f) surface and deep ocean alkalinity, (g) surface and deep ocean pH, (h) effective lysocline depth and (i) input (grey line) versus burial fluxes (black line). Surface ocean parameters are indicated with a light grey line, middle ocean parameters with a dark grey line, and deep ocean parameters with a black line. Note that productivity (a) and nutrient concentrations (b) are unaffected during scenarios 1, 2, 5 and 6, whereas they are allowed to covary with $F_{\text{riv}}$ by 50% for scenario 4 (stippled line). Modelling results for scenarios 5b and 6b are also indicated by a stippled line. Scenarios 3–6 are associated with carbon input and burial changes (i), causing changes in (c) total dissolved inorganic carbon, (d) carbonate ion, (e) atmospheric $p$CO$_2$, (f) alkalinity, (g) pH, and (h) effective lysocline depth (integrated depth of the aragonite–calcite lysocline).
$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ as calculated by the mechanistic box-model after Chuck et al. [23] are summarized in Table 1 for the six scenarios outlined above. Prior to 1.00 Ma, $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ variations are presumed to be negligible (Fig. 3), the system is assumed to have been at steady state, and the values used for the various parameters are: $\delta_{\text{riv}} = -3.9\%_o$, $\delta_{\text{org}} = -21.5\%_o$, $F_{\text{riv}} = 2.40 \times 10^{14}$ g C yr$^{-1}$, $F_{\text{org}} = 4.8 \times 10^{13}$ g C yr$^{-1}$ and $F_{\text{ino}} = 1.92 \times 10^{14}$ g C yr$^{-1}$ after [25].

Our main results for all six scenarios are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. All six scenarios show similar long-term trends in both benthic and planktonic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C as the observations, with decreasing $\delta^{13}$C values between 1.00 to 0.90 and 0.50 to 0.25 Ma and increasing values between 0.90 to 0.50 and 0.25 to 0.10 Ma (Fig. 4). The surface (planktonic) $\delta^{13}$C records generally show similar trends to those identified in paleo-records, but variations are observed between the various scenarios (Figs. 4 and 6). As the planktonic $\delta^{13}$C-records reflect conditions in a thin surface layer with a potentially high seasonal, regional and vertical (different planktonic foraminifera species have different depth habitat) variability their use as direct proxies for quantitative changes in surface water $\delta^{13}$C is less certain.

4. Which scenario fits best?

In scenario 1, relatively large changes in $\delta_{\text{riv}}$ are needed if they are to drive the $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations (Table 1). For example, between 0.90 and 0.50 Ma (node 2 and 3), $\delta_{\text{riv}}$ would have to increase from $-4.65$ to $-3.60\%_o$. If changes in $\delta_{\text{riv}}$ were the result of changes in the relative weathering rates of inorganic and organic carbon, one would expect this to be reflected in the osmium isotope composition of seawater, as osmium isotopes are sensitive to the ratio of organic carbon weathering to total weathering [26–28], but over the periods considered here no significant fluctuations are apparent [29]. Using shifts in the dominance of terrestrial C3/C4 plants to explain the observed $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations is more complicated. In order to decrease $\delta_{\text{riv}}$ a decrease in the abundance of C4 plants at the expense of C3 would be needed. However, such a vegetation shift would result in more $^{12}$C being tied up in the terrestrial vegetation, compensating for the effect of the $\delta_{\text{riv}}$ decrease by decreasing ocean $^{12}$C. An abrupt increase in the percentage of C4 plants has been recorded, associated with the shift towards lower $\delta^{13}$C values during the MPCF [30]. However, Raymo et al. [12] calculate that unreasonably large shifts in the dominance of C3/C4 plants would be needed to explain the $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations. Hence, scenario 1 seems unlikely.

The changes that would be needed in $\delta_{\text{org}}$ to explain the $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations (scenario 2, [Table 1]) are considerable; between 0.90 and 0.50 Ma, (nodes 2 and 3), $\delta_{\text{org}}$ would need to decrease by 9%. Methane-related changes in $\delta^{13}$C are not considered in this study as such changes observed in the more distant geological past happened very rapidly within a few thousand years [4]. $\delta_{\text{org}}$ could change through variations in fractionation during organic matter formation, which is influenced by sea surface temperature (SST). The coolings associated with the initiation of the MPCF and LPCF [30–32] would, however, tend to cause variations in $\delta_{\text{org}}$ in the opposite direction to what is needed to explain the observed fluctuations (cooler SST increases the solubility of CO$_2$, which results in increased fractionation leading to lower $\delta_{\text{org}}$) (Table 1). Scenario 2 is therefore unsubstantiated.

In scenario 3 the $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations are driven by changes in $\delta_{\text{ino}}$ through nutrient induced changes in surface water productivity. Nutrient supply to the sea surface can be changed in two ways: (1) through changes in fluvial and/or atmospheric input, and (2) through changes in vertical ocean mixing, with increases in mixing causing increased supply of nutrients to the sea surface. At the present day, upwelling contributes up to 20 times more nutrients to the sea-surface than river and atmospheric input [33]. We simulate productivity driven $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations by changing the vertical mixing constants in the ocean (scenario 3). The induced change to productivity lessens over time as nutrient concentrations adjust (residence time of phosphorus $= \sim 30$ kyr in this model). Reductions in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ at the initiation of the MPCF and LPCF are associated with decreases in vertical mixing and thus productivity, whereas the periods characterized with increasing $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{stacked}}$ are represented by stronger mixing to cause enhanced productivity (Fig. 5, Table 1). Changes in ocean carbonate chemistry and atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ are
relatively small (Fig. 5). Scenario 3, however, diverges from the other scenarios by not reproducing similar $\Delta^{13}C$ changes in the surface waters (Fig. 4). Instead a small offset is observed as a result of changing the biological pump [34], contrasting the trends that are actually observed in planktonic $\Delta^{13}C$ paleo-records (Fig. 6), suggesting scenario 3 is unlikely.

Scenario 4, with the observed $\Delta^{13}C$ fluctuations driven by changes in the input of carbon through rivers ($F_{riv}$), requires changes of up to 27–38% in the magnitude of this flux (Table 1). Increases in $F_{riv}$ result in small increases in carbon burial fluxes, associated with enhanced productivity (Fig. 5). Changes in river input and burial are, however, not equal, as most nutrients are likely removed by near-shore sedimentation processes [37]. In scenario 4a it is presumed that nutrient inputs do not change with changes in $F_{riv}$, whereas in scenario 4b nutrient input is allowed to covary with $F_{riv}$ by 50%. Both scenarios 4a and 4b are very similar (Fig. 5). Increased productivity associated with increased nutrient input causes a small shallowing of the effective lysocline (Fig. 5). However, the calculated changes in $F_{riv}$ cause unfeasibly large changes in global ocean dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), alkalinity, atmospheric $pCO_2$, and pH (Fig. 5). After the second carbon isotope fluctuation (0.50 Ma) the carbonate system is moved to a new state, because the model carbonate system possesses two degrees of freedom, but only one stabilizing feedback: carbonate compensation (silicate weathering feedback is not incorporated in the model, as this only becomes important on timescales $\geq$1.00 Myr [38]), causing unrealistic changes in $pCO_2$ and ocean carbon chemistry. If silicate weathering was important on these timescales, then the coolings associated with the initiation of the MPCF and LPCF [30–32] would likely act to lower silicate weathering [39]. It is difficult to obtain accurate records of past variations in river discharge, but there is no evidence to suggest that river input was significantly increased during the initiation of the MPCF and LPCF, and on the contrary some evidence suggests that the initial shift to lower $\Delta^{13}C$ values during the MPCF was accompanied by a reduction in discharges [40]. Thus scenario 4 seems unrealistic.

In scenarios 5a and 5b $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations relate to variations in the organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes ($F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$), either caused by (a) changes in burial preservation or (b) changes in the production- and export rain ratio (ratio between organic and inorganic carbon exported from the sea surface), with the applied changes following the approximate global $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$ ratio of 1:4 [25] (Table 1). In scenario 5a $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{stacked}}$ is forced by explicit variations in $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$ by adjusting the stoichiometric ratios of organic and inorganic carbon to phosphorus in the global burial flux (Table 1). These variations occur irrespective of carbonate ion concentration (compensation is prevented) and phosphorus cycling is left unaltered. In scenario 5b carbonate compensation is allowed and it is the production ratio that is varied following a ratio of change of 1:4 (Table 1). In the case where the changes in $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$ (Table 1) are forced by changes in burial preservation at a 1:4 ratio without carbonate compensation (scenario 5a) large changes in atmospheric $pCO_2$, pH, alkalinity and extremely large changes (>3 km) in the effective lysocline depth are apparent (Fig. 5). Although the calcite lysocline may vary by up to ~500 m on glacial/interglacial time scales [5,41–44], on the longer time scale considered here lysocline depth variations are more limited, and only vary by about ±150 m from the present ~4 km [33,45]. We use the integrated depth of the aragonite and calcite lysocline to represent the ‘effective lysocline depth’. Long-term changes in the ‘effective lysocline depth’ may be slightly larger compared with the calcite lysocline, as aragonite is more soluble than calcite, and vary by ±250 m from the present ~2.4 km. The enormous variations in the depth of the effective lysocline resulting from the changes in burial inferred from scenario 5a do not agree with observations. In the case where carbonate compensation is allowed to operate (scenario 5b), variations in atmospheric $pCO_2$, pH and alkalinity are much reduced (Fig. 5). However, variations in the depth of the effective lysocline (up to 500 m) are still substantial and diverge beyond the ±250 m constraint derived for the period of interest. Scenario 5 therefore seems unrealistic.

Scenario 6 is similar to scenario 5, as $\Delta^{13}C_{\text{stacked}}$ fluctuations are also considered to be caused by changes in the carbon burial fluxes $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$. However, scenario 6 uses a ratio of change of $\Delta F_{\text{org}}/\Delta F_{\text{ino}}$ = 1:1 based on the stoichiometry of the equations $\text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \iff CO_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \iff \text{Ca}^+ + 2\text{HCO}_3^–$. The variations in $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$ (Table 1) are forced by adjusting the stoichiometric ratios of organic and inorganic carbon to phosphorus in the global burial flux without carbonate compensation (scenario 6a) similar to scenario 5a, and by forcing variations in the production ratio (scenario 6b). In both scenarios 6a and 6b, the invoked changes in $F_{\text{org}}$ and $F_{\text{ino}}$ (Table 1) cause considerably less variation in atmospheric $pCO_2$, pH, alkalinity and DIC, and variations in the effective lysocline depth fall within a much narrower range (Fig. 5). Hence, scenario 6, with changes in the carbon burial fluxes while using a ratio of $\Delta F_{\text{org}}/\Delta F_{\text{ino}}$ = 1:1, appears to be plausible within the context of the validation criteria.
From the above discussion, it is predicted that changes in the burial fluxes of organic and inorganic carbon at a 1:1 ratio (scenario 6) are most plausible as the main driver of the long-term $\delta^{13}C_{\text{st}}$ fluctuations, as ocean carbonate chemistry is not violated, and similar $\delta^{13}C$ changes are reproduced for both deep and surface records (Fig. 4). Changes in the burial fluxes, caused by preservation changes (e.g. scenario 6a) that diverge from this 1:1 ratio invoke larger depth variations in the effective lysocline depth beyond the ±250 m constraint (Fig. 7). Moreover, if changes in the production ratio diverge beyond $\Delta\text{POC}:\Delta\text{PIC}=1:3$, depth variations in the effective lysocline also become unacceptable (Fig. 7). The modelling results for scenario 6a and 6b imply that long-term changes in atmospheric $pCO_2$ were small over the past 1.20 Myr (i.e. on time scales exceeding glacial/interglacial cycles).

5. Discussion

The assessment of the various scenarios outlined above indicates that it is most likely that the observed $\delta^{13}C_{\text{st}}$ fluctuations were driven by carbon burial changes (scenario 6). Although it cannot be excluded that productivity variations also took place simultaneously, these likely were of relatively minor importance, given that large-scale productivity changes would cause an offset between surface and deep $\delta^{13}C$ records, which is not supported by observations (Fig. 6, Supplementary information 1). This evaluation therefore suggests that the changes in the carbon burial fluxes are more likely related to variations in $C_\text{org}$ and CaCO$_3$ preservation and/or to variations in the production ratio. In the case of changes in the burial fluxes being due to preservation changes (scenario 6a), the question is whether this was mainly related to changes that occur in the water column affecting the export rain rate or upon sediment burial. At the present, the burial efficiency of organic carbon and calcium carbonate is rather low; most organic carbon is remineralized in the upper water column, about 50–75% of the produced calcium carbonate may get dissolved in the upper 1000 m, whereas below 1000 m CaCO$_3$ dissolution is much reduced [46,48]. In upper thermocline waters the ratio of organic carbon to CaCO$_3$ remineralization is about 5 to 1, whereas below ~2 km this ratio decreases to nearly 1:1 [49]. A long-term offset in the surface organic carbon to CaCO$_3$ remineralization ratio could lead to changes in ocean carbon chemistry (e.g. $\text{CO}_2$ and lysocline depth) and would cause an offset in the vertical $\delta^{13}C$ gradient, which is not observed (Fig. 6). It is therefore most likely that our inferred preservation changes are associated with processes that affect sediments upon burial.

Processes that may affect calcium carbonate contents in sediments are (1) the degree of bottom water saturation with respect to $\text{CO}_2$, and (2) respiratory driven dissolution (dissolution of CaCO$_3$ by oxic degradation of organic matter). Long-term changes in bottom water
CO₃⁻ would influence the position of the lysocline, which is unlikely to have varied by more than ±250 m on these time scales, so this may be an unsuitable mechanism. Respiratory driven dissolution in deep-sea sediments is an important process [50–52], and may be linked to the amount of oxygen available for remineralization of organic material. Increases in the oxygen content of bottom water can greatly increase remineralization of organic carbon and dissolution of CaCO₃ [53]. If ca. 1 mol of remineralized organic carbon is used to dissolve 1 mol of CaCO₃, the DIC state of bottom waters is not affected to a significant extent.

The model results suggest that, in order to reproduce the δ¹³C trends while at the same time avoiding unrealistic lysocline changes, burial fluxes varied in a ratio close to 1:1. This seems unlikely given that at the present time F_ino is about 4 times higher than the F_org [25]. However, a 1:1 ratio would follow if, on these time scales, CaCO₃ dissolution in sediments is controlled by CO₂ supply through release during remineralization of organic carbon. It is unclear from the available evidence whether this is actually the case at a global scale, although the importance of metabolic CO₂ in driving dissolution is recognized [50–52].

There is some evidence to suggest that the oxygen levels of deep-water may have varied over the last 1.20 Myr. During the initiation of the MPCM, Kawagata et al. [54] observe a large decline in the abundance of low oxygen favouring benthic foraminifera from intermediate water depths in the North Atlantic. They suggest this decline may be due to increased dissolved oxygen levels associated with paleoceanographic changes. The main source of dissolved oxygen to the abyss is through the deep conveyor and intensification of deep water formation could form a mechanism to increase dissolved oxygen levels in the deep sea. Alternatively, decreasing deep water temperatures, possibly in response to increasing global ice-volumes, could have resulted in increased deep water oxygen concentrations during the initiation of the MPCM and LPCM.

Our modeling results show that the changes in the burial fluxes can however also be forced through variations in the production- and export ratio. The shifts towards lower δ¹³C_stack at the initiation of the MPCM and LPCM would then be associated with slight decreases in the overall production ratio, implying an increase in organic carbon (diatoms) production over calcite (coccoliths and foraminifera). Presently diatom productivity and burial are especially important in the Southern Ocean (south of the Polar Front), the eastern boundary upwelling systems, and the equatorial Pacific. Local increases in diatom productivity may be caused by intensified upwelling and by a northward shift of the Antarctic Polar Front. At the initiation of the MPCM and LPCM, potentially increased upwelling and a northward shift of the polar front in the Southern Ocean [10,55–57] could have promoted local shifts from calcite to silicate production, and may have been responsible for increased opal accumulation rates in the Southern Ocean and along the eastern boundary upwelling systems [58]. Such local production shift would not necessarily cause local offsets in the vertical δ¹³C gradient between planktonic and benthic foraminifera, as such a gradient shift depends on the magnitude of change in the POC flux and the localized surface ocean renewal time of ¹²C through mixing.

The Pleistocene δ¹³C_stack is relatively stable prior to the initial excursion of the MPCM at 1.00–0.90 Ma (Fig. 3). A decrease in δ¹³C_stack of 0.35‰ between 1.00 and 0.90 Ma (nodes 1 and 2), requires that the total carbon burial flux decreased from 2.40 to 2.22 × 10¹⁴ g C yr⁻¹ (Fig. 5). During this period there was a larger proportional decrease in organic carbon burial. After 0.90 Ma, δ¹³C_stack increased by 0.60‰ until 0.50 Ma. This change requires that the total carbon burial flux increased from 2.22 to 2.44 × 10¹⁴ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6a) or 2.47 × 10¹⁴ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6b) again with a proportional larger increase in organic carbon burial. Similarly, the fluctuation between 0.50 and 0.10 Ma can be achieved by decreasing the total carbon burial flux by 0.8 × 10¹³ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6a) or 1.1 × 10¹³ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6b) between 0.50 and 0.25 Ma and then increasing it by 1.0 × 10¹³ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6a) or 0.9 × 10¹³ g C yr⁻¹ (scenario 6b) between 0.25 and 0.10 Ma (Table 1). If these burial changes resulted from variations in preservation due to respiratory driven dissolution of CaCO₃, then the periods of decreasing δ¹³C_stack (1.00–0.90 and 0.50–0.25 Ma) would be associated with increased respiratory driven CaCO₃ dissolution, whereas the following periods characterized by increasing δ¹³C_stack may be linked to periods of reduced respiratory driven dissolution. However, if the burial changes relate to changes in the production ratio, then the periods of lowered δ¹³C_stack (1.00–0.90 and 0.50–0.25 Ma) are associated with a decreased production ratio (increase in opal production over calcite), whereas this production ratio would have to increase during periods of increasing δ¹³C_stack.

The model predicts relative fluctuations in organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes (F_org and F_ino) of up to 28% and 6%, respectively. The scale of variations in F_org is such that one would expect them to be detectable in areas where there are substantial sedimentary C_org concentrations, such as the Sea of Japan, the Barents Sea, parts of the Nordic Sea, the eastern Mediterranean
Sea, the Black Sea, the Arabian Sea, parts of the South Atlantic Ocean, and coastal upwelling areas [59]. Unfortunately, Pleistocene organic carbon burial fluxes are not generally well-documented. We have found only three detailed studies, concerning the eastern Mediterranean, the Sea of Japan and the South Atlantic.

The eastern Mediterranean displays a reduction in the number of preserved organic-rich (sapropel) intervals between 0.98 and 0.58 Ma [60]. As a consequence, we calculate that the amount of organic carbon buried in that basin during the mid-Pleistocene was only about half that buried during intervals with preserved sapropels, while the absence of preserved sapropels between 1.00 and 0.90 Ma defines a total “loss” of $0.6 - 1.5 \times 10^{16}$ g $C_{org}$ in the sediments (Supplementary information 3). Although this represents only 1–2% of the total $0.9 \times 10^{18}$ g decrease in $F_{org}$ projected by our model for that time interval (Table 1), similar $C_{org}$ burial minima also occur in the Sea of Japan [61] and the South Atlantic [62], where preserved $C_{org}$ contents drop from ~4% to ~2% between 0.95 and 0.75 Ma and between 1.10 and 0.85 Ma, respectively. In addition, we note that concomitant calcium carbonate minima occurred around ~1.00 to 0.90 Ma in the South Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans [21,42,55,63].

Thus, the suitable available records all show a broad $C_{org}$ burial flux minimum centred on ~1.00–0.90 Ma, which appears to have coincided with a period of reduced calcium carbonate burial. Those observations are compatible with our hypothesis, which ascribes the initiation of the MPF (1.00–0.90 Ma) to a global decrease in organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes, either due to increased respiratory driven dissolution of CaCO$_3$ or a decrease in the production- and export ratio.

Similar support for our hypothesis is found in the case of the LPF $\delta^{13}$C shift to low values (0.50–0.25 Ma). It also coincides with a decrease in eastern Mediterranean sapropel preservation [60] and a ~2.5% drop in organic carbon contents in the South Atlantic [62], while a global calcium carbonate low has been described as the Mid-Brunhes dissolution event (~0.40–0.30 Ma) [5,14,21,41,42,56,62,63].

To summarize, it appears that both shifts towards lower $\delta^{13}$C$_{stacked}$ associated with the initiation of the MPF and LPF are characterized by decreases in organic and inorganic carbon burial, supporting our hypothesis that the two long-term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations are most likely driven by changes in the organic and inorganic carbon burial fluxes. With the limited amount of information that is available, it is not yet possible to decide what mechanism was mainly responsible for the changes in carbon burial fluxes over the last 1.2 Myr, as there is supporting evidence for both scenarios 6a (preservation changes) and 6b (production ratio changes). It is also possible that the changes in the carbon burial fluxes resulted from a combination of preservation and production ratio changes.

The mid-Pleistocene climate transition (MPT) marked an increase in mean global ice volume and a shift from 41-kyr to 100-kyr ice-age cycles [12]. The exact timing of the MPT, however, remains a matter of debate. Raymo et al. [12] date the MPT between ~920 and 540 ka, Hall et al. [64] report it between 860 and 450 ka, Gingele and Schmieder [65] suggest a start at ~920 ka and termination at ~640 ka, and Henrich et al. [5] propose an early onset at 1200 ka. Clearly, it is important that the timing relationship between the MPT and MPFC is established, since, apart from the glacial cycles themselves, the MPT represents the most fundamental long-term change in Pleistocene climate. Although high frequency glacial/interglacial cycles are associated with relatively large changes in atmospheric $pCO_2$, the strongest $pCO_2$ shift inferred by our study for the MPFC (which looks beyond these ‘short’ term fluctuations) is only ~20 ppmv, which suggests that whatever the timing relationship, the MPT was not driven by a CO$_2$ shift (Fig. 5). The pCO$_2$ shift inferred by our study for the LPF is only ~10 ppmv. The recently published EPICA results confirm relatively stable $pCO_2$ levels over the last 620 kyr [66]. The present study finds that the MPFC and LPF were most likely driven by a change in carbon burial, due to preservation changes and/or changes in the production- and export ratio. Both mechanisms are more likely a consequence of a climate transition than a cause. Therefore, it may be expected that the onsets of the MPT and MPFC, as well as the mid-Brunhes event and LPF, were closely related and coincident.

6. Summary

Carbon isotope records from both surface- and bottom dwelling foraminifera from all major ocean basins show two long-term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations between 1.00 and 0.10 Ma. Existing evidence and various hypotheses for these fluctuations are evaluated using the 3-box model of Chuck et al. [23]. Our modeling results suggest that the two long-term $\delta^{13}$C fluctuations most likely resulted from concomitant changes in the burial fluxes of organic and inorganic carbon. In order to avoid unrealistic changes in the long-term Pleistocene lysocline, the ratio between the amounts of change in organic and inorganic carbon burial would have to be close to a 1:1 ratio. The changes in burial fluxes likely resulted from either deep water ventilation variations causing changes in respiration driven CaCO$_3$ dissolution and/or changes in the production- and export
ratio. Our hypotheses require no fundamental changes in atmospheric pCO₂ associated with the long term δ¹³C fluctuations, as corroborated by the recent EPICA pCO₂ reconstruction [66]. It is therefore suggested that the mid-Pleistocene climate transition, which, apart from the glacial cycles, represents the most fundamental change in the Pleistocene climate, was likely not associated with a fundamental change in atmospheric pCO₂.
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