



www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/335/6071/956/DC1

Supporting Online Material for

Collapse of Classic Maya Civilization Related to Modest Reduction in Precipitation

Martín Medina-Elizalde and Eelco J. Rohling

Published 24 February 2012, *Science* **335**, 956 (2012)
DOI: 10.1126/science.1216629

This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods
SOM Text
Table S1
References (31–34)

Supporting online material for

Classic Maya civilization collapse related to modest reduction in precipitation

Martín Medina-Elizalde and Eelco J. Rohling

This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods
SOM Text
Table S1
References (31-34)

Materials and Methods

Model Description

To ensure that we run representative modern climatic variables, we use five-year average monthly values of precipitation (P), evaporation (E), temperature (T), as well as the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of precipitation (δ_p). P , E , and T are obtained from a very nearby meteorological station to Lake Chichancanab (Dziuché Town) (21). The δ_p values are taken from a more remote station (Veracruz city) (31). We use these δ_p to characterize the seasonal cycle, but shift the mean to $-4.2\text{\textperthousand}$ corresponding to the annual mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ value of regional precipitation (31,32). The amount effect is then determined from the relationship between δ_p –adjusted for Yucatán – and a 5-year monthly average of P (years 1999-2003) at the location of Lake Chichancanab ($\delta_p/\Delta P = -0.0234 \text{\textperthousand}$ per mm; $R^2 = 0.80$).

We use a combination of mass and isotope conservation to set a realistic value for the lake catchment area ratio (γ). As a first approximation, we use the observed 5-year mean annual P and E values (1999-2003) to estimate γ so that it ensures annual

mass balance, which is required since the lake has been an existing feature for the entire Late Holocene (9). Mass balance from modern P and E values requires precipitation to be integrated over an area about 10% larger than the area affected by evaporation ($\gamma = 1.1$). This estimation of γ allows for seasonal and interannual lake level (H_L) variability at $H_L \leq 8$ m, the mean depth of Lake Chichancanab today. When $H_L > 8$ m, we assume that the lake –located in a very flat area – floods its catchment, and therefore set $\gamma=1$ to account for the equal areas of evaporation and precipitation. While maintaining annual mean mass balance, our model uses observed (5-year average) monthly mean P and E values to calculate monthly changes in the lake-water level. The lake level seasonal cycle thus found has a range of about 400 mm. Isotopic developments in the basin are calculated as follows:

$$(\delta_L)_n = \frac{\gamma(P)_n(\delta_P)_n + (E)_n\{(\delta_L)_{n-1} + (\delta_{eq})_n\} + (H_L)_{n-1}(\delta_L)_{n-1}}{\gamma(P)_n + (E)_n + (H_L)_{n-1}}$$

Here

$$(\delta_{eq})_n = -1000\ln(\alpha_{eq})_n$$

where $(\alpha_{eq})_n$ is determined for each month's temperature value (T_n) using the equation of ref. (32). In the above, subscript eq stands for values affected by equilibrium fractionation, subscript n stands for the month being considered, and $n-1$ therefore for the previous month. The calculations start with estimate values $H_L = 8000$ mm, and $\delta_L = 0\text{\textperthousand}$. Monthly P and E values to be used are in mm. Although we start with an arbitrary $\delta_L = 0\text{\textperthousand}$, this choice does not affect the final equilibrated lake-water isotope value, which turns out to be $+3.9\text{\textperthousand}$. This value agrees with observed values for Lake Chichancanab (9, 15, 19), suggesting that any kinetic fractionation upon evaporation is small, presumably because of very high relative humidity in the region's boundary layer.

The total amplitude in Lake Chichancanab $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{Pyrgophorus sp.}}$ and Lake Punta Laguna $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{Pyrgophorus sp.}}$ is ~50% smaller than our simulated fluctuations (Fig. 2 main text). This difference may be related to temperature effects on both lake and cave calcite $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, non-equilibrium isotopic fractionation of calcite $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and shifts in δ_P associated with isotope source changes. It is unlikely that this difference reflects a larger catchment area or amount effect than prescribed here, since the shift in any of these parameters that would be necessary to reconcile the modeled and paleoclimate signals, would result in negligible lake mass variations and thus be incompatible with evidence of significant lake gypsum precipitation (15). Despite these caveats related to the historical complexity of these isotopic/hydrological systems, our study provides the first integrative quantitative interpretation of environmental records during the crucial Terminal Classic Period.

Scenarios of perturbation to the seasonal precipitation cycle

In the first scenario we run an experiment in which we “locked” summer precipitation in a winter precipitation mode, as it is fully explained in the main text.

In the second scenario, we calculate the summer fractional change in precipitation (f) associated with the Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ anomalies, by solving f from the following equation:

$$\overline{\delta_{P_{ann}}} = \frac{5f\overline{P_{sum}} \left[\overline{\delta_{P_{sum}}} + (f - 1)\overline{P_{sum}} \frac{\Delta\delta_p}{\Delta P} \right] + 7\overline{P_{win}} \overline{\delta_{P_{win}}}}{5f\overline{P_{sum}} + 7\overline{P_{win}}}$$

where $\delta_{P_{ann}}$ is Chaac’s annual precipitation $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ anomaly, f is the fractional change in monthly summer precipitation, P_{sum} and P_{win} are summer and winter precipitation in mm, respectively, and $\Delta\delta_p/\Delta P$ is the amount effect (−0.0234 ‰ per mm). The

horizontal overbars indicate monthly mean values. The base period for referencing Chaac's $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ anomalies was A.D. 700-800; the century prior to the TCP.

SOM Text

Records and location description

Lake Chichancanab

Lake Chichancanab ($19^{\circ} 52' \text{N}$, $88^{\circ} 46' \text{W}$) is a tectono-karstic basin with a base rock composed of Tertiary limestone and gypsum. It represents the largest lake in the state Yucatán region with a maximum depth of about 14 m. Total annual rainfall in the Chichancanab basin is about 1300 mm. Rainfall affects lake levels directly (precipitation) and indirectly (groundwater) (33, 34). Measured lake water $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ranges from 3.4-5.4 ‰ (9, 15, 19). Lake Chichancanab is in saturation equilibrium with gypsum; thus, the SO_4^{2-} concentration in this lake is governed by gypsum solubility. Gypsum is regularly precipitated around the lake bank (20) The Lake Chichancanab *Pyrgophorus coronatus* $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record evaluated in this study corresponds to the upper 1 m of a 4.9 m sediment core that extends back to ~9,000 years.

Lake Punta Laguna

Lake Punta Laguna comprises two interconnected basins located in the north east of the Yucatán Peninsula, near the Maya archaeological site of Coba. The lake has an area of 90ha and a maximum depth of ~10m. Lake Punta Laguna sediments are mainly composed of calcium carbonate (90-95%) preserving continuous records of ostracod and gastropod shells. The Lake Punta Laguna ostracod *Cytheridella ilosvayi* $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record evaluated in this study represents the upper 2 m sequence of a continuous

6.3 m sediment core retrieved from the western basin of the lake in the year 1993 (10).

Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record

The stalagmite Chaac (named after the Maya god of Rain) is a 45 cm specimen collected from the Cave Tzabnah in the locality of Tecoh ($20^{\circ} 45' \text{N}$, $89^{\circ} 28' \text{W}$, 20 m above sea level), only 50 km south of Mérida; the largest city in the Yucatan Peninsula. The surface of Tzabnah lies 20 m above sea level. The residence time of the groundwater is very short; dripwater rates are strongly correlated to the seasonal precipitation variability with higher drip rates occurring during the rainy season (Jun-Oct) and lower during the dry season (Jan-Apr). The chronology of Chaac is based on 12 U-Th dates with uncertainties between (38-112 years). Lamina counting and U-Th dates suggest, however, a maximum absolute age uncertainty of ± 10 years during the Terminal Classic Period (A.D. 800-950) (14). The average time resolution of the Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record is 2.3 year but with annual resolution over the TCP. Hendy tests and isotopic equilibrium calculations indicate that Chaac's calcite was precipitated under or near equilibrium conditions and faithfully records rainfall $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variability (Supplementary Fig. S3 in ref (14)).

Records Tuning

We graphically tuned Lake Chichancanab and Punta Laguna records to the Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record over the TCP interval. Tuning implied assigning four age control points to Lake Punta Laguna and two age control points to the Lake Chichancanab $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and sediment density records (Table S1). Only two of these tuning dates (in L. Punta Laguna) somewhat exceed the combined 2σ error of the radiocarbon dates and the Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ chronology (9,10,14,15).

Table S1. Tuning correlation tiepoints based on the time scale of the reference Chaac $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record (11).

Age Chaac	Age L. Chichancanab $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record	Age L. Chichancanab sediment density Record	Age L. Punta Laguna $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record
819	788	771	830
843			881
893			963
940	986	1034	1068

References

31. IAEA/WMO, *Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation. The GNIP Database*. Accessible at: <http://www.iaea.org/water>, (2006).
32. M. Vuille, R. S. Bradley, M. Werner, R. Healy, F. Keimig, *J Geophys Res-Atmos* **108**, (Mar 18, 2003).
33. M. Majoube, *J. Chim. Phys.* **10**, 1423 (1971).
34. M. Pinelo-Maldonado, Univ. Nat. Aut. Mx. (1963).

References and Notes

1. L. Schele, M. E. Miller, in *The Blood of Kings: Dynasty and Ritual in Maya Art*, G. I. Braziller, Ed. (George Braziller, New York, and Kimbell Art Museum, Fort Worth, TX, 1986), p. 335.
2. A. A. Demarest, P. M. Rice, D. S. Rice, in *The Terminal Classic in the Maya Lowlands: Collapse, Termination, and Transformation*, A. A. Demarest, P. M. Rice, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. Press of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 2004), pp. 1–11.
3. T. P. Culbert, in *Precolumbian Population History in the Maya Lowlands*, T. P. Culbert, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM, 1990), p. 395.
4. W. J. Folan, *Información Universidad Autónoma Ciudad de México (UACM)* **13**, 122 (1988).
5. B. L. Turner, in *Population Reconstruction for the Central Maya Lowlands: 1000 B.C. to A.D. 1500*, T. P. Culbert, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM, 1990), pp. 301–324.
6. T. P. Culbert, L. J. Kosakowsky, R. E. Fry, W. A. Haviland, in *Precolumbian Population History in the Maya Lowlands*, T. P. Culbert, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, 1990), pp. 103–121.
7. P. A. Andrews, E. W. Andrews, F. R. Castellanos, The northern Maya collapse and its aftermath. *Ancient Mesoam* **14**, 151 (2003).
[doi:10.1017/S095653610314103X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S095653610314103X)
8. J. Aimérs, D. A. Hodell, Societal collapse: Drought and the Maya. *Nature* **479**, 44 (2011). [doi:10.1038/479044a](https://doi.org/10.1038/479044a) [Medline](#)
9. D. A. Hodell, J. H. Curtis, M. Brenner, Possible role of climate in the collapse of Classic Maya civilization. *Nature* **375**, 391 (1995). [doi:10.1038/375391a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/375391a0)
10. J. H. Curtis, D. A. Hodell, M. Brenner, Climate variability on the Yucatan Peninsula (Mexico) during the past 3500 years, and implications for Maya cultural evolution. *Quat. Res.* **46**, 37 (1996). [doi:10.1006/qres.1996.0042](https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.1996.0042)
11. J. H. Curtis *et al.*, *J. Paleolimnol.* **19**, 139 (1998). [doi:10.1023/A:1007968508262](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007968508262)
12. M. F. Rosenmeier, D. A. Hodell, M. Brenner, J. H. Curtis, T. P. Guilderson, A 4000-year lacustrine record of environmental change in the southern Maya lowlands, Petén, Guatemala. *Quat. Res.* **57**, 183 (2002).
[doi:10.1006/qres.2001.2305](https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.2001.2305)
13. G. H. Haug *et al.*, Climate and the collapse of Maya civilization. *Science* **299**, 1731 (2003). [doi:10.1126/science.1080444](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1080444) [Medline](#)
14. M. Medina-Elizalde *et al.*, High resolution stalagmite climate record from the Yucatán Peninsula spanning the Maya terminal classic period. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **298**, 255 (2010). [doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.08.016)
15. D. A. Hodell, M. Brenner, J. H. Curtis, Terminal Classic drought in the northern Maya lowlands inferred from multiple sediment cores in Lake Chichancanab (Mexico). *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **24**, 1413 (2005).
[doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.10.013)

16. G. E. Braswell *et al.*, in *The Terminal Classic in the Maya Lowlands: Collapse, Transition, and Transformation*, A. A. Demarest, P. M. Rice, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. Press of Colorado, 2004), pp. 162–194.
17. K. Carmean, N. Dunning, J. K. Kowalski, in *The Terminal Classic in the Maya Lowlands: Collapse, Transition, and Transformation*, A. A. Demarest, P. M. Rice, D. S. Rice, Eds. (Univ. Press of Colorado, 2004), pp. 162–194.
18. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science Online*.
19. A. Covich, M. Stuiver, *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **19**, 683 (1974).
20. E. Perry, G. Velazquez-Oliman, A. R. Socki, *Hydrogeology of the Yucatán Peninsula*, 21st Symposium on Plant Biology, Arturo Gomez Pompa, Scott Fedick, Eds. (Haworth Press, Binghamton, NY, 2003), pp. 115–138.
21. CONAGUA, Servicio meteorológico nacional, México; available at <http://smn.cna.gob.mx/> (2011).
22. J. H. Christensen *et al.*, *Regional Climate Projections. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007).
23. A. V. Karmalkar, R. S. Bradley, H. F. Diaz, Climate change in Central America and Mexico: Regional climate model validation and climate change projections. *Clim. Dyn.* **37**, 605 (2011). [doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1099-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-011-1099-9)
24. R. J. Lawrence, D. S. Gedzelman, Low stable isotope ratios of tropical cyclone rains. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23**, 527 (1996). [doi:10.1029/96GL00425](https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL00425)
25. A. B. Frappier, D. Sahagian, S. J. Carpenter, L. A. Gonzalez, B. R. Frappier, Stalagmite stable isotope record of recent tropical cyclone events. *Geology* **35**, 111 (2007). [doi:10.1130/G23145A.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/G23145A.1)
26. W. K. Michener, E. R. Blood, K. L. Bildstein, M. M. Brinson, L. R. Gardener, *Agric. Appl.* **7**, 770 (1997).
27. H. Jiang, E. J. Zipser, Contribution of tropical cyclones to the global precipitation from eight seasons of TRMM data: Regional, seasonal, and interannual variations. *J. Clim.* **23**, 1526 (2010). [doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3303.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3303.1)
28. F. N. Scatena, M. C. Larsen, Physical aspects of Hurricane Hugo in Puerto Rico. *Biotropica* **23**, 317 (1991). [doi:10.2307/2388247](https://doi.org/10.2307/2388247)
29. NOAA, National Weather Service/National Hurricane Center; available at: www.nhc.noaa.gov/ (2011).
30. R. B. Gill, *The Great Maya Droughts: Water, Life, and Death* (Univ. of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM, 2000).
31. IAEA/WMO, Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation. The GNIP Database; accessible at www.iaea.org/water (2006).
32. M. Vuille, R. S. Bradley, M. Werner, R. Healy, F. Keimig, Modeling $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in precipitation over the tropical Americas: 1. Interannual variability and climatic controls. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **108**, 4174 (2003).
33. M. Majoube, *J. Chim. Phys.* **10**, 1423 (1971).

34. M. Pinelo-Maldonado, Geología y geohidrología de Yucatán, posibilidades de explotación de agua subterránea. Thesis, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (1963).