Eastern Mediterranean sea levels through the last interglacial from a coastal-marine sequence in northern Israel
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A B S T R A C T

A last interglacial (Marine Isotope Stage, MIS5e) marine-coastal sequence has been identified along the Galilee coast of Israel, with the type section located at Rosh Hanikra (RH). The microtidal regime and tectonic stability, along with the detailed stratigraphy of the RH shore, make the study region ideally suited for determining relative sea level (RSL) through the MIS5e interval in the eastern Mediterranean. The sequence contains fossilized microtidal subunits at a few meters above the current sea level. Unfortunately, all fossils were found to be altered, so that U-Th datings cannot be considered to represent initial deposition. We contend that U-Th dating of Strombus bubonius shells (recrystallized to calcite) suffices to indicate a lower limit of ~110 ± 8 ka for the time sea level dropped below the RH sedimentary sequence. The RH-section comprises three main subunits of a previously determined member (the Yasaf Member): (a) a gravelly unit containing the diagnostic gastropod Strombus bubonius Lamarck (Persistis-trombus latus), which was deposited in the intertidal to super-tidal stormy zone; (b) Vermetidae reef domes indicating a shallow-water depositional environment; and (c) coarse to medium-sized, bioclastic sandstone, probably deposited in the shallow sub-tidal zone. The sequence overlies three abrasion platforms that are cut by tidal channels at elevations of +0.8 m, +2.6 m and +3.4 m, and which are filled with MIS5e sediments. We present a detailed study of the sequence, with emphasis on stratigraphic, sedimentological, and palaeontological characteristics that indicate sea-level changes. Although without precise absolute dating, the stratigraphic sequence of RH through MIS5e allows us to identify a time-series of RSL positions, using the elevations of three stratigraphic subunits. Reconstructed RSL values range from +1.0 m to +7.0 m (with uncertainty < 1 m), and most fall within a narrow range of ~1.0 to +3.3 m. Toward the end of MIS5e, RSL exceeded about ~7 m. Glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) modelling using multiple ice histories suggests that GIA corrections range between ~1.8 m and +5.4 m. This implies that global mean sea level resided between ~0.8 m and +8.7 m during most of MIS5e. The absolute GIA correction would not be constant through the interglacial, and reduces to a range of ~1.2 m to +2.4 m towards the end of the interglacial.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Reconstructed sea-level elevations and their age constraints show remarkable differences for MIS5e around the world, as does
Table 1

Selected data of MIS5e sea levels (SLs) presenting differences between various databases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL indicators and its elevation</th>
<th>Dating method</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Age uncertainty</th>
<th>Inferred SLs through MIS5e</th>
<th>Vertical uncertainty</th>
<th>Curve structure</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deep sea cores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Atlantic and Equatorial Pacific</td>
<td>Benthic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O</td>
<td>Records beyond 40 kyr are dated by correlation to SPECMAP for MIS52: synchronization with the high-resolution Antarctic records</td>
<td>135-115ka</td>
<td>The average is on the order of 0.5–0.8 ka</td>
<td>Above present day (0 m)</td>
<td>±13m</td>
<td>Waelbroeck et al. (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red Sea</strong></td>
<td>Benthic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O</td>
<td>-129–110 ka</td>
<td>centennial-scale for 25–70 kyr, and less for the Last Interglacial</td>
<td>Above present day (0 m)</td>
<td>±12m</td>
<td>One peak around 122 kyr.</td>
<td>Siddall et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Uplifted rates in Bab el Mandab of 0.02 m ka⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red sea</strong></td>
<td>Planktonic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O</td>
<td>-124-119ka</td>
<td>±1 ka and even smaller</td>
<td>Above +10 m.</td>
<td>±6 m to ±6.3</td>
<td>Fluctuating SL rising to the highest peak around 123ka, a short drop and another peak at 121.5ka</td>
<td>Rohling et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red Sea</strong></td>
<td>Planktonic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O</td>
<td>133-120ka</td>
<td>(±0.5 and ± 1 ka).</td>
<td>&gt;0 m 126 to 130 ka (probability maximum curve, 95% confidence limits)</td>
<td>Fully propagated uncertainties expressed as vertical uncertainties maximum values of ±3.4 m.</td>
<td>The probability maximum curve contains one peak at 127.8 ka; the raw data contains multiple oscillations through MIS 5e.</td>
<td>Grant et al., 2012, Grant et al. 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coral reefs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Yucatan peninsula, Mexico</td>
<td>Complete coral reef-crest sequence at +3 m and +5.8 m.</td>
<td>2³⁸Th mainly of the coral A. palmata colonies</td>
<td>-132–125ka</td>
<td>Ages with values of 149 ± 8 % δ¹³C were considered accurate to ±2 kyr</td>
<td>Prolonged SL at +2.5 m and rapid rise up to +6 m or even +9m</td>
<td>+3 m between 126ka and 122ka and +6 m (between 120 and 117ka)</td>
<td>Blanchon et al., 2009</td>
<td>Stable area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Australia</td>
<td>Fossil coral reefs</td>
<td>2³¹²Th &gt; 0.5 ppb</td>
<td>127-116ka</td>
<td>&gt;3 ka</td>
<td>Between +2.2 and +3.4 m up to 119-120 kyr and a jump up to +9.5m</td>
<td>Min. coral paleo-depth is 0.4 m in situ corals.</td>
<td>O’Leary et al., 2013; Hearty et al., 2007</td>
<td>Stable areas. GIA corrected palaeo SL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Australia, and the Bahamas</td>
<td>Coastal structures</td>
<td>U-series on corals and AAR of marine shells and whole rock</td>
<td>130 to 119ka</td>
<td>±2ka</td>
<td>Rise to standstill at +2.5 m (~132–125ka), a fall and rise to standstill at +3.0 m–124 to 121ka and a jump to +6m (~120 to 119ka)</td>
<td>±1 m.</td>
<td>Two highstand and a jump at the end</td>
<td>Hearty et al., 2007 (and refs therein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Bahama bank</td>
<td>Coral reefs: a rise to +2 m, a drop and tidal notches at +6m</td>
<td>U-Th dating</td>
<td>132-118ka.</td>
<td>A rise to +2 m −132ka, stable at +2 m, a fall in −125 ka, and restraining of reef growth to −1 m, and a notch at +6 m–118ka.</td>
<td>High stand at +8.5 to +12.5 m</td>
<td>Two highstand and a jump at the end</td>
<td>Chen et al., 1991; Neumann and Hearty, 1996;</td>
<td>Tectonically stable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii and Bermuda</td>
<td>Coral reefs</td>
<td>U-Th (TIMS)</td>
<td>From −134 to −113 ka, with most ages</td>
<td>Between ±0.6ka to ±3ka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Muhs et al., 2002; Hearty et al., 2007</td>
<td>(continued on next page)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL indicators and its elevation</td>
<td>Dating method</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age uncertainty</td>
<td>Inferred SLs’ through MIS5e</td>
<td>Vertical uncertainty</td>
<td>Curve structure</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Coast - Isla Guadalupe, Baja California</td>
<td>Coral reef terraces</td>
<td>U-Th (TIMS)</td>
<td>between -125 and -115 ka</td>
<td>(Hawaii), ±2 to ±3 m (Bermuda)</td>
<td>+1 to +6 m but shallow-marine or beach sediments are found up to +13 m</td>
<td>Three stands</td>
<td>Muhs et al., 1994</td>
<td>Slightly uplifting in Hawaii and stable in Bermuda. Preumed to be tectonically stable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>Three coral reef terraces during MIS 5e, +5 m and +2 m,</td>
<td>ESR and TIMS (U/Th)</td>
<td>ESR: 132-118ka, U-Th: 128-120ka</td>
<td>±0.6 kyr to ±1ka (U/Th) 5-9ka(ESR)</td>
<td>Uplifted +20 to +40 m</td>
<td>Three stands</td>
<td>Schellmann and Radtke, 2004</td>
<td>Assuming a constant uplift rate of 0.276 m/ka.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td></td>
<td>U/Th</td>
<td>-130 and 118 ka</td>
<td>+5 m at 130.5 ka, +2.7 m for the second ~117.9ka but more probably ~123–122 ka.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Two stands</td>
<td>Dumas et al., 2006</td>
<td>Assumed constant uplift – different rates for different terraces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huon Peninsula (New Guinea), Seychelles Islands, Indian Ocean</td>
<td>+1.7 and +6 m</td>
<td>Goniastrea and Porites</td>
<td>U-Th (TIMS)</td>
<td>131ka to 122ka,</td>
<td>Coral buildup to 131ka followed by sea level drop between 131 and 122ka.</td>
<td>Single high stand</td>
<td>Stein et al., 1993</td>
<td>Tectonically active.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal structures in the Mediterranean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Relatively stable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almeria, S. Spain</td>
<td>Marine terraces containing Strombus bubonius</td>
<td>U series of Mollusks, mainly of Strombus bubonius in different elevations of 0.5 m, 4 m and 11m</td>
<td>From 132ka and on (also MIS5C ages)</td>
<td>Up to ±14ka.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1986</td>
<td>Tectonically active.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish coasts, mainly the Canary Islands, Alicante province and Balearic Islands</td>
<td>Marine terraces containing “Senegalese fauna” including Strombus bubonius,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The southeastern coasts of peninsular Spain, the Balearic and Canary Islands</td>
<td>Marine terraces containing “Senegalese fauna” including Strombus bubonius at elevations of +2 m to +3 m. Progradation of a barrier spit that grew during the MIS 5e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain: La Marina-El Pinet site (Alicante)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallorca Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibraltar</td>
<td>+5.0 m based on notch, caves, shelters, ledges, and a bioerosive</td>
<td>U/Th datings on Acanthocardia tuberculata shells</td>
<td>-114.5–110 ka</td>
<td>Shell – open system, ±6.5–7.3ka</td>
<td>Rapidly reached height of +5 at the beginning of MIS5e</td>
<td>A mean uplift value of 0.05 + 0.01 mm/year from 200 kyr (Rodríguez-Vidal et al., 2007).</td>
<td>Dabrio et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fluctuations - two

Sanlaville, 1971 The area is tectonically active and regression
þ regression
þ 8.5 m highstand
retreat and another relatively long phase of
to +1.5 m, with small tidal benches
highstand at 10.5 m

Cladocora caespitosa
Cyprus

± U series 130 and 116 ka
Pool et al., 1990
Sallarède, 1971
Belmar, Norterr., Lebanon
N.Galilee, Israel

macro-fauna (Table 1). All methods contain uncertainties and references therein; Bardaji et al., 2009, Sivan et al., 1999).

New MIS5e studies remain critical for understanding past sea level, and they have the potential radically change past interpretations, even in previously studied locations. In Western Australia, sea-level indicators were interpreted in two prolonged flat highstand through MIS5e (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012), but subsequent research inferred that relative sea level in this area comprised an initial highstand, controlled by glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) processes, followed by a sharp upward jump (O’Leary et al., 2013). Further, Long et al., 2015 contrast the multiple records of mid to low latitude RSL change during MIS5e with high-latitude records for northwest Europe that display no major RSL oscillations for this period. Although Long et al. (2015) model the North Sea region as being potentially insensitive to rapid ice mass loss in Greenland, the study raises valid questions regarding the source of RSL oscillations during MIS5e.

Sea-level reconstruction, notably of the last ~0.5 Myr, relies on:
(a) shallow water corals (e.g. Acropora palmata; Fairbanks, 1989);
(b) benthic foraminiferal δ18O values in deep-sea cores (e.g. Waelbroeck et al., 2002); (c) strongly amplified surface-water δ18O changes in evaporative marginal seas resulting from water residence-time changes due to sea-level driven modifications to cross-sectional strait-passages in the Red Sea (Siddall et al., 2003; Rohling et al., 2009; Grant et al., 2012) and the Mediterranean (Rohling et al., 2014); and (d) velocity of coastal structures and macro-fauna (Table 1). All methods contain uncertainties and inaccuracies in both elevations and dating. Fossil-coral-based sea-level indicators offer the best (radiometric) age constraints to RSL records. Such well-dated, non-altered coral reefs are commonly reported to record sea level with vertical uncertainties of up to 5 m (Fairbanks, 1989), although assemblage studies claim greater precision. Instead, coastal sedimentary structures can offer more precisely constrained indicators of past sea level when carefully related to the depositional environments and corrected for local tectonics (Hearty et al., 2007, Table 1), but are generally less well dated.

In the Mediterranean Sea, there are no corals that can be used as sea-level indicators. Here the key fossil indicator for MIS5e is the gastropod Strombus bubonius. It is now known as Persististrombus latus (Taviani, 2014), but we retain the old synonym for ease of comparison with referenced literature (e.g., Zazo et al., 2003, 2013 and references therein; Bardaji et al., 2009, Sivan et al., 1999). Strombus bubonius is part of the so-called Senegalese fauna, which indicates a relatively warm coastal and littoral environment (Bardaji et al., 2009; Murray-Wallace and Woodroffe, 2014, p. 283).

The fossil coral Cladocora caespitosa, which often is associated with Strombus-bearing terraces, has been dated mainly to MIS5e using Amino-acid and U series methods (Hearty et al., 1986a; Zazo et al,
2003 and references therein). Unfortunately, *Cladocora caespitosa* is not suitable for use as a sea-level indicator because of its large depth–habitat distribution. Based on this association, *Strombus*-bearing terraces are commonly attributed to MIS5e (Sivan et al., 1999; Bardaji et al., 2009; Zazo et al., 2003, 2013), although they also occur in terraces assigned to MIS7 in the western Mediterranean (Zazo et al., 2013 and references therein). Such MIS5e terraces have been identified along the Spanish coast (Hoang and Hearty, 1989; Zazo et al., 2003, 2013; Bardaji et al., 2009; Dabrio et al., 2011), in Mallorca (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1986; Hearty, 1987; Zazo et al., 2002), in the Gibraltar region (Rodríguez-Vidal et al., 2007) (Table 1), and in Calabria and Puglia, Italy (Hearty et al., 1986b; Dumas et al., 2005). Elevations of these marine terraces vary between +1.5 and +5 m. In Mallorca, MIS5e RSL was also reconstructed from coastal cave speleothems, between +1.5 and +3 m (Tuccimei et al., 2006; Dorale et al., 2010).

In the eastern Mediterranean, indications for rapid RSL changes within MIS5e have been documented in the Gulf of Corinth, Greece. These include microbial bioherms with inter-grown marine coralline algae at elevations of 10–20 m over a marine terrace (Andrews et al., 2007). These terraces have potentially been affected by tectonic movements (Pavlopoulos et al., 2012), so that the data cannot be used for reconstructing a high-resolution RSL curve. In Cyprus, U-Th ages of *Cladocora caespitosa* corals in marine terraces, at elevations ≤+3 m, range between 108 ± 6 and 138 ± 4 ka, in broad agreement with MIS5e (Pool et al., 1990).

Along the Galilee coast of northern Israel (Fig. 1), a marine bioclastic, calcareous sandstone — the “Yasaf” Member (Mb) — has previously been related to MIS5e (Sivan et al., 1999). Coastal outcrops have been recognized in many patches, with thicknesses ranging from a few cm to 2 m. *Yasaf* Mb. sediments extend up to ~150 m inland of the present coastline, and reach an elevation of about +5 m. Dating the *Yasaf* Mb. by U-Th of mollusc shells has failed, since all were found to have been altered into calcite. Hence, the *Yasaf* Mb. has been assigned to MIS5e based on local stratigraphy and correlation to *Strombus*-bearing units elsewhere in the Mediterranean (see above; Sivan et al. (1999), and references therein). A similar unit bearing Senegalese fauna (Zazo et al., 2003, 2013; Bardaji et al., 2009) has been documented along the Carmel coast of Israel (Galili et al., 2007), where it was dated using optically

---

**Fig. 1.** Location map: a. The Israeli coast, East Mediterranean, b. The northern coast of Israel - study area and sites: Hazrot Yasaf (HY), Shavey Zion (SZ), Rosh Hanikra south (RHS), Rosh Hanikra north (RHN) and the cave locations.
stirred luminescence (OSL) to 113 ± 5 ka (Mauz et al., 2012).

In Lebanon, conglomerate terraces composed of large rounded pebbles and containing *Strombus bubonius* are exposed along the coast, similar to the sections investigated here. In Na‘ame, Beirut bay, Lebanon, a +7 to +10 m marine terrace is overlain by a marine *Strombus bubonius* unit, and there is a fossilized, calcareous bench with *Vermetidae*. In places, it is overlain by breccia that contains Levallois (Middle Palaeolithic stone knapping) artifacts that is again covered by a *Vermetidae* layer up to +10.5 m. The *Vermetidae* layers indicate MIS5e relative sea levels of -7 m and +10 m (Sanlaville, 1971). The *Strombus* and *Vermetidae* units were radiometrically dated to between 93 and 90 ka (Bar-Yosef, 1998), while the Levallois artifacts were dated by Thermoluminescence (TL) and Electron Spin Resonance (ESR) to between 140 and 80-75 ka (Bar-Yosef, 2007). The Lebanese area has been affected by late Holocene vertical tectonic movements of at least 1–1.5 m, associated with episodic earthquakes (Sivan et al., 2010 and references therein), so that the Na‘ame section cannot serve as an accurate sea-level marker.

In contrast, the Israeli coast is thought to be tectonically stable, based on geological research (Sneh, 2000) and comparison of Holocene archaeological sea-level indicators along the Carmel coast (Galili et al., 2007) and the Galilee coast with model predictions (Sivan et al., 2001, 2004, 2010). This tectonic stability makes the Galilee coast suitable for obtaining relative sea-level records of wider significance.

Here, we report results from a shore sequence in Rosh Hanikra, northern Israel, which includes a gravel unit with *Strombus bubonius* fossils that is overlain by a *Vermetidae* reef, and which is in turn covered by bioclastic sandstone. Our new sedimentological and palaeontological data provide a relative sea-level record with small vertical error bars through MIS5e on the Galilee coast. The in-situ and in growth position *Vermetidae* reef is a strong indicator of low-energy sea conditions. A major effort was made to date the *Strombus bubonius* fossils, but the U-Th results seem indicative only of alteration ages. Therefore, our sequence provides information on continuous relative sea-level fluctuations (with assumed uncertainty ≤ 1 m) through time, which are of wider significance due to the region’s tectonic stability (Table 2), despite a lack of precise absolute age control. We combine our proposed chronostratigraphy and accurate elevations with modelled GIA predictions for the location, to compare our sea-level reconstruction with other global records.

### 2. Materials and methods

We surveyed sites on the Galilee coast, including sites in which *Strombus bubonius* fossils were previously found (Sivan, 1996; Sivan et al., 1999), with new indications in Rosh Hanikra. Detailed surveys and sampling (Fig. 1) were performed along west to east cross sections (Fig. 2), perpendicular to the coastline, at three sites: Hazrot Yasaf, Shavey Zion, and Rosh Hanikra north (RHN) and south (RHS). Another outcrop was documented within the Rosh Hanikra sea cave located in RHN, where the Rosh Hanikra ridge gives rise to vertical sea cliffs (Fig. 1). For accurate documentation, the survey uses RTK Proflex 500 GPS with horizontal and vertical precisions of one and five cm, respectively. The sea cave outcrop was surveyed using a Leica Disto laser distance meter and inclinometer and a hand-prismatic compass, with horizontal and vertical precisions of five cm. All data are related to the Israeli Land Survey Datum. Stratigraphic correlations between sites were established (Fig. 3) using the sedimentological characteristics and elevations of the subunits. The field exposures of each unit are presented in Fig. 4.

We document several subunits within the Yasaf Mb. bioclastic sandstone, which overlies the Regba Mb. calcareous sandstone that is locally named kurkar (Sivan, 1996; Sivan et al., 1999). A complete stratigraphic section with all subunits was found only in Rosh Hanikra north. Therefore, we propose the RHN site as the type section for the Last Interglacial in Israel. The Yasaf Mb. subunits comprise a *Strombus* subunit, a *Vermetidae* reef, an algal crust, and lower and upper bioclastic sandstone (Fig. 3). All were deposited in shallow marine environments (Table 2).

The subunits of the Yasaf Mb. were deposited on a palaeotopographic surface of Regba Mb. sandstone and are inclined seaward. Deposition occurred between present sea level and a few meters above present sea level, with indications of transgression and regression in each depositional phase (Fig. 3). The upper bioclastic sandstone in Rosh Hanikra was excavated during historical periods for building blocks (Fig. 4c 17 and 18), which provided a detailed 3-dimensional outcrop of the upper part of the sequence. These excavations also exposed the other subunits, such as the *Vermetidae* reef identified in the current study. The various subunits are exposed to a lesser extent at the other sites: Hazrot Yasaf and Shavey Zion (Fig. 4a and b). In the Rosh Hanikra sea cave within in the chalky E-W Rosh Hanikra ridge (Fig. 1), we identified both the *Strombus* subunit and the bioclastic sandstone subunit (Fig. 4c: 19–21).

Each subunit (Table 2) was sampled for petrographic analysis, calcareous content, and microfaunal identifications, in the case of the mound-shaped reef structures, to determine the various depositional conditions. Grain size analyses were carried out in the field and under the microscope. Pebble-sized and larger granules were measured in the field, corroborated by measurements on size-calibrated photos. Short-axis lengths of fine grains were measured under the microscope, relative to a calibrated micrometer. From each interval, when possible, two subsamples of at least 90 grains were measured for each grain type (quartz, calcareous and pebbles); subsamples with less than 30 grains were not used (Fig. 5).

For petrographic analyses, eighteen thin sections were prepared and analyzed for the coastal sites, and four from the cave. The thin sections were cut perpendicular to the horizon for optimal coverage (Fig. 6). Thin sections were analyzed using an Olympus BX53-P petrographic microscope, and their structures were classified following Wright (1992). Diagenetic features were classified and interpreted following compilations by Moore (1989), Tucker and Wright (1990), Flügel (2010) and references therein. Samples were powdered, dried and inorganic carbon content was measured using a Primacs SLC analyzer.

For microfossil analyses, the partially indurated sediments were sampled and treated with warm H2O2 to improve disaggregation. After dry weighing, sediments were wet-sieved over a 63 µm mesh and dried at room temperature; the >63 µm fraction was used for foraminiferal and ostracod analyses. The taxonomy used in speciation of the benthic foraminifera is based mainly on Cimerman and Langer (1991), Hottenger et al. (1993), Sgarrella and Moncharmont-Zei (1993), Jones (1994), and Reiss et al. (1999). Total and relative benthic foraminiferal abundances were determined, and species diversity was expressed as raw diversity (species richness). The identification of ostracod taxa is based mainly on Athersuch et al. (1989), Maddocks et al. (2004) and Mischke et al. (2014). The preservation state of foraminifera and ostracods was evaluated based on several criteria (e.g., breakage, reworking, population structure, sorting, articulation of ostracods shells) indicating taphonomic processes within the depositional environment (e.g., Frenzel and Boomer, 2005). One sample of the Regba Mb. sandstone underlying the Yasaf Mb. was OSL dated. Due to the low dose rate (0.405 ± 0.030 Gyr/ka), chemical analyses for dose rate calculations were replicated. Considering that the equivalent dose of 87 ± 5 Gyr is well below saturation of the OSL signal, we consider the age reliable.

For the main (Yasaf Mb.) sequence, the *Strombus* sub-samples...
and other fossil samples (Table 3) were crushed to powder and spiked with a mixed $^{225}$Th-$^{230}$U spike. U and Th were separated by column chemistry following procedures in Vaks et al. (2007) and Torfstein et al. (2009). U and Th isotopes were analyzed by Nu plasma ICP-MS-MC at the Geological Survey of Israel. The $^{235}$U/$^{238}$U (atomic) ratio obtained for NBL112a over the course of this study was $(5.299 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-5}$ ($\pm 2\sigma$; $n = 21$).

During a glacial-interglacial climate cycle, sea level changes in response to the growth and melting of large land-based ice sheets (Emilianii, 1955). The loading and unloading of land surfaces and ocean basins mean that the sea level measured in any given location will not reflect the global average (Farrell and Clark, 1976; Milne and Mitrovica, 2008). GIA modelling allows us to investigate both the local relative sea level (RSL) and the global mean sea level (GMSL) change in response to a prescribed change in ice volume. We define the GIA correction as the difference between GMSL and RSL.

The GIA response at the study site (Rosh Hanikra; $33.093^\circ$N, $35.105^\circ$E) is modelled using a range of Earth models and eight ice volumes. We vary spatial dispersal patterns for the ice volume, global ice-loading histories that cover a range of glacial-interglacial settings.

Table 2
Field description of the Yasuf Mb. subunits, elevations and inferred MIS5e sea levels relative to present day. Reconstructed global mean sea levels are calculated by applying the maximum range of modelled GIA corrections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Thickness [m]</th>
<th>Minimum elevation (m)</th>
<th>Maximum elevation (m)</th>
<th>Sedimentology</th>
<th>Depositional settings</th>
<th>Inferred palaeo-sea-level</th>
<th>Inferred global mean sea level/GMSL/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper bioclastic sandstone</td>
<td>6.45 RHS</td>
<td>3.9 HY</td>
<td>5.2 SZ</td>
<td>Pebbles at base, medium grained poorly sorted bio-clastic sandstone with abundant shell fragments and spicules (Echinodermata), inophasic cement fill and dissolutions.</td>
<td>Shallow sub-tidal</td>
<td>Maximum SL at $\approx 6.5$–$7.0$ m.</td>
<td>Using RSL indicator of $\pm 7$ m GMSL inferred using the wider set of GIA corrections in between $+4.7$ m and $+12.4$ m. Using the range of GIA corrections for the end of the interglacial GMSL is constrained within $5.8$ m and $9.4$ m. N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bioclastic sandstone</td>
<td>0.93 SZ</td>
<td>1.0 HY</td>
<td>1.1 RHS</td>
<td>Marine bio-clastic sandstone. Unconformable contact at base. Well-bedded dipping seaward. Rhodolithe, coarse bio-clastic: gastropods, bivalves, and vermetids. Some grains exhibit micritic envelopes inter granular blade cement and granular cements.</td>
<td>Shallow sub-tidal variable</td>
<td>Rising SL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconformity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal crust</td>
<td>0.13 in the measured spot (see picture)</td>
<td>2.78 at RHN (on the Domes).</td>
<td>1.96 RHN</td>
<td>Marine bio-clastic sandstone. Unconformable contact at base. Well-bedded dipping seaward. Rhodolithe, coarse bio-clastic: gastropods, bivalves, and vermetids. Some grains exhibit micritic envelopes inter granular blade cement and granular cements. End of dissolution features and quartz grain occurrence, irregular contact.</td>
<td>Shallow sub-tidal</td>
<td>The same SL (as of the GMSL between $+1.2$ m and $+8.4$ m. domes) at $\approx 3$ m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermetidae domes (Only in RHN)</td>
<td>Average (of 5): 0.43</td>
<td>Base: 2.33</td>
<td>Top: 2.78</td>
<td>Mound shape structure constructed of the photographs seem to show indeed Petaloconchus glomeratus (Linnaeus, 1758). Fam. Vermetidae and encrusting calcareous algae. Poorly or well rounded, and poorly sorted bio-clastic fragments: bivalve and red algae. Fine silt quartz grains. Granular cement fill and various dissolution features.</td>
<td>Shallow sub-tidal to intertidal high energy</td>
<td>Standstill, SL at $\approx 3$ m GMSL between $+1.2$ m and $+8.4$ m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus bearing sub unit</td>
<td>Varied</td>
<td>0.8 RHN</td>
<td>0.9 HY</td>
<td>Patchy conglomerate, unconformably overlying the Regba. Poorly sorted, coarse gravel unit composed of subrounded-rounded cobbles and few bolders, fine silt quartz and bioclasts: mollusk, algae, bryozoan, foraminifera (miliolids), Rhodolithe 5–8 cm in size. Vermatus Sp., Strombus bubonius LMK (now termed Lentigia latus) and unidentified gastropods. Fine silt quartz grains. Granular cement fill and various dissolution features.</td>
<td>Intertidal to super-tidal storm-generated</td>
<td>Sea level rise to $\approx 1$ m GMSL between $+0.8$ m and $+8.4$ m.</td>
<td>Sea level rise to $\approx 3.0$ m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconformity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regba Mb.</td>
<td>Lower terrace (1) is at present: 0.8 $\pm 0.9$ m, the second (2): is at 2.05</td>
<td>Upper terrace (3) is at 3.33</td>
<td>Aelorite calcareous sandstone, cross-bedded: primarily troughs. The top consists of planar fine laminas slightly dipping seaward. Fine silt quartz, bioclasts, and calciclastics micrite and red algae, at times with micritic envelopes. Varius dissolution features and intergranular cements The upper surface is eroded.</td>
<td>Aeolian indications of coastal environment at the top.</td>
<td>Aeolian with various indications of coastal environment at the top.</td>
<td>Sea level fall to $\approx 0.8$ m GMSL between $-1$ m and $+6.2$ m.</td>
<td>GMSL between $-0.8$ m and $+8.7$ m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Cross sections, from west to east, of three selected study sites on the Galilee coast, Israel, with elevations and panoramic views.
The bearing subunit is unconformably deposited. The \textit{strombus} subunit is overlain, only in Rosh Hanikra North (RHN), by the Vermetidae dome shaped mounds (Fig. 4b: 9 and 4b:10) themselves covered by algal crust (Fig. 4b:13 and 4b:14). Where the domes are missing, e.g. in Rosh Hanikra South (RHS), the algal crust directly overlies the \textit{strombus} subunit (Fig. 4b:14 and 4b:15). Two bioclastic sandstone beds unconformably overlie the domes, the lower is coarser and less sorted while the upper sandstone is finer and better sorted. In places, coarser and finer bioclastic sandstones alternate with each other in the contact zone.

models can be found in the supplementary material (Supplement Figures S1 and S2).

To create the ice histories, we first adapt a version of the ICE-5G ice history (Peltier, 2004) so that it covers two glacial cycles (Ice 1). Ice 1 approximates the MIS 6 to MIS 5e transition by reproducing the ICE-5G deglaciation from last glacial maximum to present day. Ice histories 2 and 3 represent a global model of ice volume variation based on the Red Sea RSL reconstruction (Grant et al., 2014). We use ICE-5G and the \textit{de Boer et al.} (2014) three-dimensional ice history as a template for the spatial distribution of ice within Ice 2 and Ice 3 respectively. To investigate the impact of duration of the interglacial on the predicted GIA corrections, we insert either an artificial 4-kyr highstand (Ice 2a and 3a), or an 8-kyr highstand (Ice 2b and Ice 3b) at the peak interglacial point. The coral record provides a strong indication that MIS5e contained an extended period in which sea level was at a higher level than present day (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Medina-Elizalde, 2013; Hibbert et al., 2016), and these higher sea levels may require global ice volume to be smaller than today (Kopp et al., 2009). As mentioned before, quantifying the number of sea-level oscillations and their sources remains problematic (see also Dutton et al., 2015; Long et al., 2015). We therefore adapt our ice histories to model a single extended highstand, of varying duration, and of less than present day ice volume.

A key difference between Ice 2 and Ice 3 is that Ice 2 contains about 25 m of sea-level equivalent volume in the maximum Eurasian ice sheet, whereas Ice 3 contains approximately 40 m of sea-level equivalent volume in the Eurasian ice sheet at maximum volume. Evidence for a MIS 6 Eurasian ice sheet of greater volume and distribution than at the Last Glacial Maximum is provided by the QUEEN project (Swendsen et al., 2004). This model was constrained with observational evidence for sea level, and incorporated into a GIA ice history by Lambeck et al. (2006). The combination of a larger Eurasian ice sheet with a smaller Laurentide ice sheet at MIS 6 has since been found to be compatible with models of past climate (Colleoni et al., 2016).

Ice 4 is based on a model-generated 3-dimensional ice history (\textit{de Boer et al.,} 2014). Ice 5 is based on a deep-sea benthic \textit{δ^{18}O} stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), with a scaling of maximum and minimum ICE-5G ice volume mapped to maximum and minimum \textit{δ^{18}O} values between the last glacial maximum and present day. Finally, Ice 6 is based on a global mean sea-level curve that was derived from deep-sea benthic \textit{δ^{18}O} from multiple ocean basins with adjustments based on selected fossil coral data (Waelbroeck et al., 2002).

3. Results

3.1. Field observations

In all Galilee coast sites (Figs. 1 and 2), the \textit{Regba} Mb. calcareous sandstone forms the base of the sequence (Sivan et al., 1999). This sandstone forms a coastal ridge that is exposed to the west (seaward side) at sea level and becomes topographically higher to the east (landward). It is characterized by large-scale cross bedding, and is considered to be an aeolianite (Fig. 4a) (Sivan et al., 1999). This aeolianite is overlain in places by low-angle, tabular, planar laminae of the bioclastic sandstone (upper part of the \textit{Yasaf} Mb.), which dip slightly seaward and are thought to reflect a shallow marine to coastal environment (Figs. 4a, 3 and 4 and Table 2).

The current abrasion platform consists of \textit{Regba} Mb. sandstone, which is being abraded by modern tidal channels (Fig. 4a 1). Two higher abrasion platforms are apparent in the \textit{Yasaf} site (Fig. 3), at elevations of +2.6 (Figs. 4a and 2) and +3.4 m, respectively (Table 2). These contain palaeo-tidal channels filled with the upper bioclastic sandstone sediments of the \textit{Yasaf} Mb. (Fig. 4a: 2).
Fig. 4. Field exposures indicating the characteristics and the stratigraphical relations of the subunits; 4a: 1. Modern abrasion platform cutting the Regba Mb. sandstone, with tidal channels. 4a:2. Probable MIS5e abrasion platform at Hazrot Yasaf (HY), at an elevation of +2.0 m (Table 2) with tidal channels filled with the bioclastic sandstone deposits. 4a:3. Regba Mb. thin laminae tilting seaward (RHN). 4a:4. The lower exposures of the Regba Mb. tilting laminae indicate aeolianite, while the upper part can be interpreted as shallow marine sand bar. 4a:5. A patchy Strombus bubonius bearing in Shavey Zion (SZ) on a Regba Mb. relief. 4a:6. Closer view of the Strombus subunit in SZ, containing also large pebbles and macro-fauna. 4a:7. Typical Strombus bubonius fossils and pebbles. 4a:8. The Strombus subunit, which in places mainly consists of pebbles (RHS). 4b: 9. Dome shaped mounds, mainly of Petaloconchus, in RHN. 4b:10. Close-up of one of the mounds. Dome thickness can reach ~0.5 m (Table 2). 11. Vermetidae patches overlying the Strombus subunit in HY where they do not build dome shaped mounds. 4b:12. Close-up of Petaloconchus. 4b:13. The algal crust directly overlying the Strombus subunit in RHS. 4b:14. Close-up of the algal crust. 4b:15–16. The bioclastic sandstone unit that unconformably overlies the Regba Mb. in SZ. The bioclastic sandstone is thick and massive, but becomes more patchy inland. 4c:17–18 Overview from north to south of the bioclastic sandstone subunit in RHN. The sandstone has been quarried in historical periods, in most places up to the Regba Mb. but is preserved in the wall along the coast that the excavators left as a protection from the sea spray. This remnant wall enables to estimate the original volume of the bioclastic sandstone. 4c:19. The Strombus and sandstone subunit in the sea cave within the Cretaceous chalk cliff, Rosh Hanikra, north to RHN. 4c:20–21 The bioclastic sandstone base in the cave at elevations ranging from +1.5 m to +4.0 m, with thickness of about 1.1 m.
and indicate two steps of sea-level rise within MIS5e. The first rise abraded the platforms while later a terminal MIS5e rise caused infill with the upper bioclastic sandstone.

The oldest unit that unconformably overlays the Regba Mb. sandstone is the Strombus subunit (Table 2 and Fig. 3), a gravel unit containing sub-rounded limestone, chert, and sandstone pebbles in a matrix of fragmented macro- and micro-bioclasts. The Strombus subunit contains a warm Senegalese marine gastropod fauna (Zazo et al., 2003 and references therein) dominated by Strombus bubonius (Fig. 4a:5 to 4a:8). This subunit has been found at all study sites (Figs. 1 and 3) in the form of very hard conglomerate patches that directly overlay the Regba Mb. Sandstone, from the elevation of the present-day abrasion platform at RHS and SZ, up to maximum elevations of +1.75 m at RHN and RHS, and +1.66 m at SZ (Fig. 3 and Table 1). In the sea cave, the chalky bedrock is unconformably overlain by patches of this marine conglomerate, containing matrix-supported, rounded to subangular gravel-size clasts, mainly pebbles and cobbles, with some marine molluscs. There, it is found at elevations from modern sea level to about +1 m (Fig. 4c: 19 to 21).

Overlying the Strombus subunit is the Vermetidae subunit, with base elevations between +1.08 and +2.33 m. This subunit consists of mound-shaped bioherms (“domes”) (Fig. 4b:9 and 4b:10) constructed by colonies of Vermetidae (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The domes were found only at RH, and their thickness varies between 0.3 and 0.5 m. They consist primarily of Petaloconchus (Fig. 4b:11 and 4b:12). The mound shapes are coated by composite algal microbialite crusts with a thickness of about 0.13 m (Fig. 4b:13 and 4b:14 and Table 1). These crusts cover either the Vermetidae bioherms, or the Strombus subunits where the Vermetidae domes are missing. Elevations of these crusts vary from +1.59 m at RHS (overlying a Strombus subunit; Fig. 4b: 13) to +2.78 m at RHN (Table 2), where it
Fig. 5. The generalized type section: a. stratigraphical relations; b. diagnostic fossil assemblages. c. % carbonate; d. the relative percentages of the quartz and the carbonate of the different units and the grain sizes of the two main grain components: the Nile derived quartz and the biogenic carbonates.
Fig. 6. Photomicrographs showing sedimentological and diagenetic features at the Rosh Hanikra north (RHN) type section: a. Cemented calcarenite of the Regba Mb. sandstone, comprised of well sorted fine to medium sand size quartz and calcareous grains, the later include fragments of red algae, varied foraminifera and micritic clasts. Micritic envelopes are present around some of the grains. First generation of isopachous blade cement, followed by a second generation granular cement can be seen. b. Two main size texture categories observed in the subunit: 1) gravel up to boulder size, poorly sorted, poorly rounded varied clasts and large gastropods (*Strombus bubonius*); 2) quartz and well rounded, very poorly sorted calcareous clasts - well sorted, poorly rounded, very fine to fine sand size. Non-selective dissolution features are present and open pores are partially filled with granular cement, possibly drusy and some grains have isopachous blade cement envelopes. c. The *Vermetidae* domes filled with micrite, small gastropods and an assemblage of calcareous clasts and quartz grains. The calcareous clasts are moderately well sorted, well rounded, fine to medium sand size. The quartz grains are well sorted, poorly rounded, very
covers the highest mound-shaped structure.

The bioclastic subunit unconformably overlies the sequence described above. The contact between the bioclastic subunit and the underlying subunits is irregular, wavy, and abrupt. This subunit consists of a set of well-bedded, bioclastic sandstone beds, where
is fine to medium planar bedded, and contains gastropods, bivalves, and spicules. The two-bed bioclastic sandstone subunits are exposed from the elevation of the current abrasion platform, where it fills tidal palaeochannels at elevations of +0.9 m at SZ, +1.0 m at HY, and +1.1 m at RHS (Fig. 4a and Table 2), or as a thick unit overlying the Regba Mb. kurkar (Fig. 4b:15 and 4b:16). Maximum elevations of the two bioclastic sandstone beds were measured at +6.45 m in RHS, and +5.7 m in SZ (Fig. 3 and Table 2). In RHN, almost the entire sequence is exposed in man-made excavations (Fig. 4c:17 and 4c:18). The lower bioclastic sandstone bed was also found within the sea-cave in RHN. There, it comprises a 1.1 m thick layer of bioclastic calcarenite with coarse-sand-sized fragments of gastropods and bivalves, cemented by calcite, at elevations varying from +1.5 m at the bottom close to the inlet of the cave, to about +4 m at its uppermost edge within the cave (Fig. 4c 19–21).

3.2. Mineralogy, grain size, micro-analysis

The Regba Mb, the Strombus subunit, and the Vermetidae reef with the algal crust all contain similar grain sizes for the calcareous and for the quartz clasts. The overlying bioclastic sandstone beds contain only calcareous grains in smaller sizes, and no quartz grains (Fig. 5). Petrographic analysis in thin section reveals that the top of the Regba Mb. kurkar is a cemented grainstone (calcareite) that consists of well-sorted, fine to medium sand size calcareous clasts and quartz grains (Fig. 6a).

The Strombus subunit comprises a wide range of clasts in two main size categories in a floatstone texture (sensu Embry and Klovian, 1971). The first size category concerns large and poorly sorted, poorly rounded, varied clasts and large gastropods (Strombus sp.) suspended in a matrix. The second size category consists of quartz and well-rounded, very poorly sorted calcareous clasts, with very fine to fine sand-sized quartz grains (Fig. 6b).

The Vermetidae domes are filled with micrite, small gastropods, and an assemblage of calcareous clasts and quartz grains. Carbonate phase bulk mineralogy consists of 75% calcite and 25% aragonite (Fig. 6c). The crust engulfing the domes is a boundstone that consists of undulatory to cumulate algal/microbial structures. In between laminae are small gastropods and an assemblage of calcareous bioclasts and quartz grains; the bioclasts include remains of foraminifera and small gastropods (Strombus sp.) suspended in a matrix. The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by Ammonia sp.1 (13%), accompanied by Peneroplis pertusus (Forskål), Buccella granulata (di Napoli Alliata), Asterigerinata mamilla (Williamson), Osangulariella bradyi (Earland), Peneroplis planatus (Fichtel & Moll), Pseudochlumbergerina ovata (Sidebottom), and Rosalina macropora (Höfler), each with relative abundances between 5 and 9%. Ostracods are present in low abundances of 6 individuals per gram. Six species were identified, with Aponesidea reticulata (Mueller), Hemicythere arborescens (Brady), and Cyprideis torosa (Jones) accounting for 67% of the ostracod assemblage. All ostracod shells were of reworked adults and disarticulated.

Fully cemented grainstone in the lower bioclastic sandstone subunit becomes partially cemented grainstone in the upper bioclastic sandstone, the later includes also spicules. The carbonate phase bulk mineralogy ranges from 95% calcite (5% aragonite) in the lower bioclastic subunit to 60% calcite (40% aragonite), with fibrous isotopic cement (Figs. 5, 6e and 6f).

3.3. Chronology

The top of the Regba sandstone in the study site was OSL dated in one sample at RHN to 215 ± 22ka, which seems to relate it to MIS7. In addition, we have performed U–Th analyses on several types of fossil samples from the Strombus and Vermetidae subunits. From RHS, fragments of several Strombus bubonius shells were analyzed, while from the northern part mainly Petaloconchus samples were analyzed (Table 3). The shells of most of these fossils have been recrystallized from aragonite to calcite, possibly by reaction with fresh water.

We acknowledge that molluscs in general behave as an open system for U-Th dating (e.g. Kaufman et al., 1971) and that the validity of Strombus bubonius ages is questioned (Sivan et al., 1999). Moreover, even if the Strombus behaved as a closed system while being aragonitic (in the shoreline environment), the recrystallization of the RH Strombus from aragonite to calcite may have opened the isotope system. If we assume, however, that the Strombus remained a closed U-Th isotope system after recrystallization and removal from the marine environment, then the determined ages might be seen as an approximation of the recrystallization age. To evaluate whether the U-Th data of the (now) calcitic Strombus might provide chronological information of some validity, we analyzed several sub-fragments of individual fossils and examined whether these show any systematic variations in the 238U and 230Th concentrations. This approach was recently developed for, and applied to, corals that were recrystallized from aragonite to calcite (Lazar and Stein, 2011; Yehudai et al., Under review). The working hypothesis is that, if several sub-fragments of different Strombus specimens collected in different places within the Strombus subunit yield similar ages, then this would strongly argue against arbitrary open-system behavior. We then proceed to the next working hypothesis that if U was re-distributed in the skeleton during recrystallization from aragonite to calcite, recrystallization was fast and occurred early in the history of the RH terrace. If this was so, and the samples remained a closed isotope system after recrystallization then we should expect linear scattering in a 230Th versus 234U (activities) variation diagram (Fig. 7a). The slope of that linear trend may then be related to isotope growth since the time of recrystallization (the amount and scattering of 236Th atoms at time of recrystallization should be limited, otherwise no correlation should be expected in Fig. 7a).

Our U and Th isotope data are listed in Table 3. We limit the description and discussion of the data to only the Strombus bubonius samples since they appear to yield consistent results, while the other fossils, e.g. the Vermetidae, yielded scattered 230Th/238U and 234U/238U activity ratios that were not suitable for assessment in any systematic manner. U and Th concentrations in the now calcitic Strombus bubonius shells are 4.9–19 nmol/g and 29–207 pmol/g, respectively. The Strombus bubonius sub-samples of three different fossils collected from different sites in the Strombus subunit yielded similar results and plot in a close cluster in the 230Th/238U versus 234U/238U evolution diagram (8a and b), almost along an isochron line and close to the seawater evolution line with 230Th/238U = 1.15. Plotting the activity ratios of the samples in the 230Th/238U = 1.15 plot (Fig. 7a) reveals positive correlations that indicate that U was re-distributed in the Strombus bubonius samples in some systematic way. We infer that the alteration of the Strombus bubonius shells did not significantly shift the 234U/238U activity ratios from their original seawater value, and that the isotope system remained closed since the time of recrystallization that caused redistribution of the U in the shell.

Calculating U-Th “single-ages” for the sub-samples (Fig. 8) yielded an average age of 110 ± 8 ka (2σ) and initial 234U/238U ratio of 1.157 ± 14, which is around or slightly higher than the open seawater value. The slopes of the sub-samples plotted in the 230Th/238U and 234U/238U diagrams (Fig. 7a and b) are 0.721 and 1.115 respectively, which correspond to a “diagenesis-age” of ~110 ka and initial of ~1.157, similar to the averages of the single calculated ages. Given the manipulations and assumptions involved, we do not use these ages in any other way than to infer a likely MIS5e
age for the Strombus samples, with recrystallization taking place soon after sea-level fell to or below the elevation of the Strombus subunit.

3.4. Glacial isostatic correction

As the stratigraphic sequence and available age constraints indicate that the study sequence was deposited during the MIS5e interglacial, we only examine GIA model predictions for times during the interglacial. We define the interglacial on the criterion that ice volume is at, or smaller than, present-day values. This criterion isolates the interglacial period from the prior rapid deglaciation, and from the drop in sea level at the end of the interglacial. It also means that the absolute timing of the interglacial period used by the underlying ice histories is irrelevant; we identify and analyze an interglacial response based solely on ice volume changes in a relative sense.

Our various ice histories (Table 4) allow us to simulate scenarios that include different spatial distributions of ice, different durations of the interglacial, different ice-volume amplitude changes between glaciation and deglaciation, and different ice volumes with respect to present-day across the interglacial. We generate GIA corrections for the beginning of the interglacial period, and for the end of the interglacial period (Table 5). For all ice histories, the GIA correction varies in size across the interglacial with a maximum correction between relative and global mean sea level occurring at the beginning of the interglacial (Figure S3). Given the uncertainty in dating it is difficult to temporally locate the stratigraphic sequence within the interglacial. Hence, our GIA analysis focuses on quantifying the maximum range of GIA corrections possible for RH during MIS5e (range of $\Delta C_0$ 1.8 to $\Delta C_0$ 5.4). This maximum range is applied to the reconstructed RSL (Table 2) at all time points, although there is an argument for applying the reduced range of GIA corrections generated at the end of an interglacial (Table 5, range of $\Delta C_0$ 1.2 to $\Delta C_0$ 2.4) to the youngest RSL indicator.

A sensitivity to ice dispersal pattern and duration of interglacial can be discerned by comparing the results generated by Ice 2a,b with 3a,b. Variations in total global ice volume are held near-constant between the Ice 2 and Ice 3 scenarios, changing only the ice dispersal pattern or duration of interglacial. When we compare Ice 2a with Ice 3a, or Ice 2b with Ice 3b (i.e. varying only the dispersal pattern), the Ice 3 scenarios generate a comparatively larger range of GIA corrections. This is because a larger Eurasian ice sheet, as found in Ice 3, is likely to introduce more intermediate field effects due to GIA.

Despite similarities in dispersal patterns and duration of interglacial, Ice 1, 2a, 5 and 6 generate the full range of potential GIA corrections (which span a range from $-1.8$ to $+5.4$ m), indicating that dispersal pattern and interglacial duration are not the only controls on the range of GIA corrections generated. Furthermore, Ice 1, 2a, 2b, 3a and 3b all have near present-day ice volume values through the Last Interglacial, yet generate the full $-1.8$ to $+5.4$ range of corrections. This compares with Ice 4, 5 and 6, which have
approximately 4 m less ice through the interglacial than present-day values, and which also generate a −1.8 to +5.4 m range of corrections.

In short, our scenarios may not be comprehensive enough to isolate the factors leading to different GIA predictions in this location, but they generate a range of potential GIA corrections that incorporate uncertainties in choice of Earth model, ice volume, duration of interglacial, and ice dispersal patterns. Ranges of GIA corrections are found for both an early, and a later part of the interglacial. Should more precise dating or further evidence to constrain the duration of the interglacial in this area become available, then the ice history scenarios may be developed further, which would allow discrimination between GIA corrections for the beginning and the end of the interglacial. The results generated here provide first guidance about the likely sensitivities that may be further explored for refining and developing the ice histories.

4. Discussion

The studied sections, and especially the regional type section at Rosh Hanikra (Galilee coast, Israel), provides insight into MIS5e global mean sea level, within a context of regional oceanographic circulation and hydrology of the Mediterranean basin. Today, sea level in the Mediterranean deviates from global sea level due to a variety effects (Pinardi et al., 2014), including: (1) strong net evaporative mass loss that causes a lowering of sea level in the basin relative to the open ocean; (2) mean salinity increase of −3 psu from west to east related to the net evaporation (e.g., Rohling et al., 2015), which results in saline contraction; (3) mean surface warming of −3 °C from west to east (e.g., Rohling et al., 2015), which leads to thermal expansion. In addition, sea level is patterned within the basin, with amplitudes in sea level anomalies up to 40 cm (CMEMS, 2011) due to (a) regional gyres and eddies in the sea’s circulation that impart dynamic topography, some of it in a more constant form related to long-term stationary gyres and eddies, and some of it transient in relation to moving and/or short-lived eddies; and (b) barometric effects related to atmospheric pressure conditions.

Overall, it appears that persistent atmospheric conditions and circulation changes may cause sea level in the study region to deviate from global sea level by several tens of centimeters. A component of those deviations is short-lived and cancels out when integrated over long periods of time. An additional complication during MIS5e arises from the fact that this was a time of exceptionally strong African monsoon intensity, driving large quantities of freshwater influx into the Mediterranean (Rohling et al., 2015 and references therein). This imparts a major departure in the basin’s mass balance, salinity, and circulation patterns relative to the present. We therefore recommend considering uncertainties of at least ±1 m to inferences of MIS5e global
sea level from our eastern Mediterranean reconstruction.

In terms of eastern Mediterranean sea-level reconstruction, the sequence for the tectonically stable region of Rosh Hanikra is very promising, given that it comprises a continuous series of sedimentological subunits with clear-cut stratigraphical relationships, and that each subunit indicates sea level with an uncertainty of <1 m (Table 2) as summarized by Hearty et al. (2007). The greatest scope for improvement of our reconstruction is in improved age control. Our efforts for the subunits of the ideally situated Rosh Hanikra section were thwarted by almost complete diagenetic alteration of the enclosed fossils. This meant that our age control is limited to identifying that the sequence likely represents MIS5e.

Tectonic stability of the Israeli coast, and notably the Galilee and Carmel sectors where MIS5e units are exposed, has been demonstrated by work in different research disciplines: geology (Sneh, 2000, 2004; Galli, et al., 2007), archaeology (Sivan et al., 2001, 2004), and biology (Sivan et al., 2010). We report elevations of MIS5e subunits in that region between +0.8 and +6.5 m. The implied MIS5e sea levels present approximations of +1.0 m to about +7 m (Table 2) seem similar to other MIS5e RSL values from stable areas such as the Bahamas, Northeast Yucatan peninsula, Mexico, and Western Australia (Table 1). However, true comparison between different sites would require detailed modelling (Cos4000). The Rosh Hanikra sequence unconformably covers the Régba Mb, which has been previously identified as an aeolian deposit that was tentatively ascribed to MIS6 (Sivan et al., 1999). Large-scale cross-bedding within the Régba Mb indicates aeolian dunes (Figs. 4a, 3 and 4). However, planar beds at the top of the Régba Mb, and their petrographic characteristics, suggest a shallow marine or coastal environment (Table 2). There is a mixture of well-sorted quartz grains and calcareous clasts, which implies winnowing within the depositional environment (Fig. 5c and d). Composition of bioclasts (foraminifera, red algae etc.) suggests reworking of marine carbonates. Micro-sedimentological analyses suggest deposition ranging from shallow subtidal to shore aeolian (Fig. 6a). Therefore, the current study results remain inconclusive in this respect, as they too can reflect both coastal (Pomar, 2001; Valarelov et al., 2012) and aeolian (Sun et al., 2002) environments. We report a new OSL age of 215 ± 22 ka for the top of the Régba Mb, which indicates that its top was deposited in MIS7, rather than MIS6. During MIS6, sea level fell and the area was exposed to terrestrial erosion. The sea-level rise into MIS5e abraded the top of Régba Mb and created three abrasion platforms at elevations of +1.0 m (abraded by the modern MSL), +2.6 m and +3.4 m (Table 2, Figs. 3, 4a: 1 and 2 and 9). These platforms are relatively flat abrasive surfaces, formed in a narrow elevation range closely associated with the level of the sea (Murray-Wallace and Woodroffe, 2014, p.114–115) and cut by tidal channels that suggest long sea-level still-stands.

Sea level dropped and rose again, given that the Strombus subunit was unconformably deposited over the lowest abrasion platform from −0.8 m to a maximum elevation of +2.4 m (Table 2 and Fig. 3) and over Cretaceous chalk at the sea cave. It contains coarse pebbles and abundant remains of fauna, including the characteristic Strombus bubonius. The occurrence of Strombus fossils up to −10 cm, along with rhodoliths (coralline algae), and pebbles up to 75 cm, reflects a high wave-energy (probably storm-affected) coastal depositional environment (Sbardati et al., 2009; Dabrio et al., 2011). Multiple cement types, both marine and meteoric, and non-selective dissolution occur through the unit (Fig. 6b), suggesting exposure to meteoric vadose conditions (Ahi, 2008) subsequent to deposition of the sequence (for details see the Fig. 6b caption). These field relationships, combined with sedimentary and micro-analysis data, indicate an early deposition phase in an intertidal environment with enhanced storm activity, with sea levels up to about +2.5 to +3.0 m (Table 2 and Fig. 7), followed by sea-level fall that caused relatively short exposure.

The mound-shaped reef structure (the Vermetidae domes) that overlays the Strombus subunit mainly contains the reef-building gastropod Petaloconchus (Fig. 4b 11 and 12), covered by red coralline algal crusts (rhodolith bioherms) and microbialites. The reef stratum is locally exposed by historical excavations and therefore at present known only from RHN. Vermetid reefs are known from the Middle Miocene to present-day and are used as sea-level indicators. Petaloconchus was the major vermetid reef builder in the Mediterranean up to the Holocene when, for unknown reasons, it was almost completely replaced by Dendropoma (Vescogni et al., 2008). Since Petaloconchus can live up to 30–50 m water depth, it is important to recognize the entire reef assemblage in order to conclude that original water depths were representative of the subtidal to intertidal zone (Vescogni et al., 2008). The mound-shapes (such as those reported here for Rosh Hanikra) have been interpreted as an indication for high-energy shallow-water settings, similar to those of the present-day intertidal Dendropoma reefs. The reef unit is fully covered by red coralline algal crusts with a possible microbialite component. In places where the reef is missing, the algal bioherm crust covers the Strombus subunit. The depositional conditions for the intertidal bioherm (Petaloconchus) can be interpreted as shallow water (Dabrio et al., 2011). The wave-rippled coarse lamination of the bioherm suggests intertidal deposition, close to mean sea level. The mound-shaped reef and the biogenic crust infer a sea level at about +3 m (Table 2). The benthic foraminiferal species found at RHN inhabit shallow-water, high-energy, quartz-rich, sandy or carbonate-rich, rocky substrates (Lazar, 2007; Hyams-Kaphzan et al., 2008; Avnaim-Katav et al., 2013, 2015). The dominant species Ammonia sp., P. pertusus, and B. granulata, have rather narrow benthic zonation, thriving at water depths between 0 and −6 m (Avnaim-Katav et al., 2013, 2015, 2016). The majority of the foraminiferal assemblage is moderately to poorly preserved and often polished, suggesting wave erosion within a shallow, coastal, high-energy environment, which reduces the inferred water depths to 0–3 m (Avnaim-Katav, 2011; Avnaim-Katav et al., 2013). Low numbers of ostracod species are also characteristic of littoral environments (Maddock et al., 2004; Avnaim-Katav, 2011). The foraminiferal assemblage from the top mound shape structure, and the poor state of preservation of both the foraminifera and the ostracoda, suggest shallow marine habitats within the subtidal zone. Hence, sea levels for this depositional phase are estimated at +3 m to +4 m (Table 2).

There is an irregular contact between the reef and the overlying marine calcareous bioclastic sandstone, which formed at elevations between +1.0 m and +6.45 m (Table 2). This suggests that reef formation terminated — likely due to a sea-level fall — and that a subsequent transgression gave rise to deposition of the sandstone sediments. Tidal channels in the lower abrasion platforms are filled with these marine sandstone sediments (Fig. 4a 2). The bedding structure is planar, dipping seaward, and the sediments contain gastropods (including vermetids) and bivalves, implying an intertidal foreshore or intertidal beach-bed environment. A change in sediment source relative to underlying units is most evident by an absence of quartz (Fig. 5c and d) and a decrease in grain size. Marine conditions are indicated also by the preservation of relatively delicate bioclasts (Ford and Kench, 2012), in particular spicles. The general structure (Fig. 4c 17) and petrographic characteristics (Fig. 6e and f) indicate a change from marine phreatic conditions to a sub-aerial meteoric vadose environment. The upper, finer-grained, marine bioclastic sandstone bed is found up to +6.45 m in RHS, which indicates a short period of relative sea level rise up to +6.5 or +7.0 m (Table 2 and Fig. 9). Following the maximum sea-level rise indicated by the upper marine sandstone
bed, sea level dropped, the coastline retreated offshore, and thereafter only reached the area again in the Late Holocene (Sivan et al., 2001, 2004).

In the Mediterranean, there are no high-resolution fossil coral derived sea-level indicators as the most abundant coral – *Cladocora caespitosa* – occurs at a range of depths down to about 40 m (Kruzić and Benković, 2008). The key fossil for MIS5e in the Mediterranean is the gastropod *Strombus bubonius* (*Lentigo latus* or *Persististrombus latus*), which is part of the Senegalese fauna. There are indications for earlier terraces bearing *Strombus bubonius* (Zazo et al., 2003) but in the central and eastern Mediterranean they have been found only in the MIS5e (Sivan et al., 1999; Bardaji et al., 2009). Dating methods that have been previously applied to *Cladocora*, *Strombus*, and other Senegalese species, include U-Th, Amino-Acid Race-misation (AAR), and Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1996; Hearty et al., 2007; Zazo et al., 2003 and references therein; Bardaji et al., 2009; Mauz et al., 2012).

Sivan et al. (1999) tentatively attributed the *Strombus bubonius*-bearing unit in Israel to MIS5e. An OSL age of 113 ± 5 ka for a sequence along the Carmel coast (Mauz et al., 2012) strengthens the chronostratigraphic attribution to MIS5e. If the suggested average age of 110 ± 8 ka from the present study is accepted as an estimate for the time of recrystallization from aragonite to calcite, then this again supports the MIS5e interpretation.

The MIS5e elevations between about 0 and + 7 m found here are similar to those in other RSL reconstructions (Table 1 and Long et al., 2015 and references therein), and in particular to those based on coastal structures (Hearty et al., 2007 and references therein; Murray-Wallace and Woodroffe, 2014, p. 286). For better comparison, we performed an initial evaluation of glacial isostatic adjustment responses in the research area during the MIS5e. Results indicate that the magnitude of the GIA correction during MIS5e likely ranges between −1.8 m and +5.4 m, given a range of possible variations in Earth and ice-history parameters. The magnitude of the GIA correction changes at each time point through the interglacial, and these “maximum correction” values do not reflect variations in global ice volume within the MIS5e time window.

Our method of combining results generated by multiple ice histories allows us to explore a range of potential GIA corrections without having precisely dated sea level indicators. The magnitude of the required GIA correction reduces across the interglacial with the GIA correction at the end of the interglacial ranging between −1.2 and +2.4 m. It is possible that this is the more appropriate GIA correction to apply to the youngest reconstructed RSL indicator, and this would constrain global mean sea level during the sea level jump at the end of the interglacial to being within 5.8 m and 9.4 m. All ice histories used in this analysis gain or lose ice-volume concurrently between the two hemispheres. Given the level of complexity evidenced in the stratigraphic record for Rosh Hanikra, this analysis may be further developed by offsetting the phasing of melt from Laurentia, Greenland, and the Antarctic ice sheets, and by incorporating a more variable Eurasian ice sheet.

The study region’s continuous MIS5e sequence and tectonic stability make it ideal for extracting reliable relative sea level (RSL) information. The sequence overlies three abrasion platforms indicating that the first MIS5e phase was erosive. From this we infer a relatively extended period of time in which sea levels were up to about +3.5 m. Later in the MIS5e period, the sedimentological and palaeontological data indicate reliable sea level rises and falls with a final jump in RSL of up to +7 m. The current study presents a pattern of fluctuating sea level as found in both intermediate and far-field sites (as presented by Hearty et al., 2007) and provides constraints on the elevations of sea-level change vital for reconstructions of past ice sheet instability.

5. Conclusions

1. A micro-tidal regime and tectonic stability make the study area a good target for high-resolution reconstruction of relative sea-level changes (RSL).
2. The local stratigraphy combined with previously published ages and proposed recrystallization ages of *Strombus* fossils attribute the exposed sequence of the Galilee coast to the Last Interglacial, MIS5e.
3. A sequence of three subunits is identified: (a) a basal subunit of gravels that contain *Strombus bubonius*, indicative of a stormy intertidal to super-tidal regime; (b) a middle subunit of *Verme- tidae* reefs that represent a shallow, sub-tidal to intertidal environment, covered by algal crust; and (c) an upper subunit that consists of two beds of coarse to medium, and fine-grained bioclastic sandstones, respectively, which probably were deposited in a shallow sub-tidal environment. The sequence covers three abrasion platforms cut by tidal channels filled with MIS5e sediments at elevations of +0.8, +2.6, and +3.4 m.
4. The coastal structures in Rosh Hanikra support a reliable RSL reconstruction, with a stratigraphic uncertainty of ≤ 1 m, ranging between +1.0 m and +7.0 m. For most of the MIS5e period, we find that RSL stood between +1.0 m and +3.5 m, with several sea-level regressions to < +1 m. During the final episode of deposition, likely toward the end of MIS5e, RSL reached +7 m or higher.
5. We use GIA modelling to infer that GMSL was between −0.8 m and +8.7 m for most of the MIS5e period. For the reconstructed jump in sea level thought to have occurred at the end of MIS5e we infer GMSL between 5.8 m and 9.4 m. We suggest an additional ±1 m uncertainty on those values to account for deviations between Mediterranean mean sea level and global mean sea level due to local Mediterranean processes.
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