This model calculates changes in air mass properties following their outflow (with or without cooling and/or windpeed and/or stable O isotope anomalies) over the sea, where evaporation adds vapour (with its own stable O composition that derives from the sea surface properties). The evaporation lowers the temperature (SST) the surface-water mixed layer (depth of which is set with the property "mld" - for temperature change we also account for insolation "Ins"), and the freshwater removal by evaporation also changes its salinity (SSS). Evaporation is calculated in daily increments, and the travel time over water is determined by means of the travel distance ("Le" for Israel and "Le/2" for Crete). We calculate all air- and water-mass properties and changes over a unit area of 1 m². For air properties at the end-point of the trajectory to Crete, we use spac_C=1, and for Haifa (Israel) we use tuning factor spac_H, as explained in the main text. These tuning factors are set once, fot ensure that - in control scenarios - the Crete and Haifa relative humidities and precipitation values match with observations. Then, we keep everything constant with respect to "spac". The "spac" factor in effect stands for the lateral (or vertical) spreading of air masses as they move away from the North Aegean. But more importantly, it allows tuning of the model to modern observations with one single parameter.

At the end of Le, or Le/2, the end-result air mass is uplifted to "height". "Height" could be used as a tuning factor, but it is preferred here to pick a reasonable number from the literature, and then keep it constant throughout the entire exercise. As the air cools, its maximum moisture content becomes lower (its relative humidity increases). When relative humidity reaches 1, the difference between the original moisture content of the air and the maximum possible content determines the amount that is precipitated out. The isotopic composition of the evaporated vapour is calculated using an equation for fractionation relative to the surface-water mixed layer value (δ sw0), and air vapour O isotope-change is calculated using an isotope mass balance between original advected air to the onset, and the addedd component due to evaporation from the sea. Rain-out is isotopically in equilibrium with the vapour, and Rayleigh distillation is included. Snow-line altitudes are determined using the surface temperature and the constant assumed lapse rate, as are negative degree days at 2km altitude in Crete to get a sense for snow/ice preservation potential..

The model allows 0, 1, 3, 5 ten-day anomalies in winter to simulate polar/continental air outbreaks. The modern control is considered to be the 1-outbreak-period per year scenario. Thus, we retain one case (the 0 anomalies case) that is less severe than control, and two (3 and 5 anomalies cases) that are more severe than today.

A range of parameter values is then determined for years with 0, 1, 3, or 5 anomalies/events. Next, an approximation is made in which the GISP K+ timeseries is used to make a time-series of interval classes (0, 1, 3, 5 events per year based on the PDF of GISP K+ values). This is then used with the various year-type properties to develop timeseries that can be smoothed with different windows to simulate palaeo-proxy records with different levels of smoothing, which is what would be encountered in reality.

Finally, artificial conical lake settings are determined for Crete and the Levant (at sea level), in which the catchment area is fixed in a ratio relative to the lake surface so that the lakes are in steady state at control conditions. Lake-level variations are calculated assuming that all rainfall onto the catchment instantaneously enters the lake, and that evaporation applies only to the actual lake-water surface. The calculated lake-level changes illustrate trends to net aridity (drops) or humidity (rises), in a physically coherent manner to all the other parameters calculated by the model. A similar exercise is made using actual proportional relationships for the Dead Sea, to assess the model's implications for that basin. In that case, a limited amout of the precipitation over the catchment area is preserved (rest is lost to re-evaporation). Also evaporation rates from the lake itself are reduced by a factor α to account for the influence of the basin's high salinity (Rohling, 2013 QSR)

Due to specific MATHCAD quirks, the main model operator parameters/values are set (yellow highlights) on pages 9 and 32.

For precipitation, we in addition work out the "amount effect", for comparison with observations close to Soreq Cave in Israel.

GISPK :=

t-K-K200.xls

 $\text{GISPt} := \text{GISPK}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$

GISPKraw := $GISPK^{\langle 1 \rangle}$

 $GISPK200 := GISPK^{\langle 2 \rangle}$

yeardays := 365 yearN := 4

Total pathway over eMed from N Aegean to Israel is roughly 1400 km For N Aegean to Crete we use half that.

$$LeH := 1400 \cdot 10^{\circ} m$$
$$LeC := \frac{LeH}{2}$$

i := 0.. yearN yeardays - 1

Incoming air flow

Wind speed (double peak annually in mid winter and in mid summer) after Santorini: data on

https://weatherspark.com/averages/32207/Santorini-Thira-South-Aegean-Greece

mean incoming air TempTa0mean := 12Based on approx Plovdiv, Bulgaria, mean monthly T range from 1 to 23 degseasonal inc air T rangedTa0 := 22http://www.plovdiv.climatemps.com

is 0.55-0.75 acc to climatemps

Relative humidity

| mean incoming relative humidity | r0mean := 0.6 | Plovdiv, Bulgaria annual range is 0.45-0.75 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---|
| seasonal inc rel humidity range | dr0 := 0.3 | (Alexandropolis, Greece range |

set seasonal cycle for incoming rel. humidity:

$$r0_{i} := r0mean - \frac{dr0}{2} \cdot sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{yeardays}\right)$$

Insolation

Imean := 310 dI := 300

$$I_{i} := Imean + \frac{dI}{2} \cdot sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{yeardays}\right)$$

$$a_{i} := 0.5 + \frac{0.3}{2} \cdot sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{yeardays}\right)$$

$$Ins_{i} := a_{i} \cdot I_{i}$$

mean incoming insolation at top of atmosphere (TOA)

 $\frac{W}{m^2}$ seasonal inc insolation range

set a $(=1-\alpha)$ to go from 0.25 in winter to 0.55 in summer, so as to get **received surface insolation** as in Athens observations. Normal places are cleaner than Athens, so we use a range of 0.35 - 0.65

For Athens, received irradiation goes from about 50 to 225 W/m², acc. to http://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/6/8/5354/htm

We assume that the relative humidity changes (increases) with height, linearly in proportion to reduction (lapse rate) of Ta. Doing so means that we can calculate total average vapour load based on surface lapse rate, as specific humidity is kept constant but relative humidity changes.

Initial ocean condition

mean Sea Surface Temp $T_{s0mean} := 18$ T range from Rohling et al (2002 Seasonal SST range $dT_{s0} := 10$ $T_{s0} := T_{s0mean} + \frac{dT_{s0}}{2} \cdot sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{yeardays}\right)$

Air layer depth

| $D_i := \left(120\right)$ | $00 + \frac{400}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ | $\sin\left(\frac{\mathbf{i}\cdot 2\pi}{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{s}}\right)$ | We set D to cycle between about 1000 and 1400 m (see main text). | Depths and phasing according to Dayan et al. (2017 Atmos Chem Phys) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 5 , |

| Mean landfall (Crete/Heraklion) air Temp (at sea level) seasonal inc air T range | TaCmean := 22 dTaC := 14 | Santorini T range is 12-27 degrees. http://www.climatedata.eu/climate.php?loc=grxx0030⟨=en Heraklion T range is 15-29 degrees. |
|---|---|--|
| mean landfall (Haifa) air Temp (at sea level) seasonal inc air T range | Tacmean := 21 dTac := 14 | Haifa range is 14-28 deg C according to climatemps |

We now import a file in which the same sinusoidal background signals (as outlined above) are perturbed with 1, 3, or 5 ten-day events in winter. The file starts with age, and then lists TaO (for events between -0 and -20 deg C), V (with events between 0 and 20 m/s), TaC0 for Crete (with events between -0 and -20 deg C), TaH0 for Haifa (with events between -0 and -20 deg C), and δ a0 the isotopic composition of incoming air vapour (with events between 0 and -2 permil).

 $M := age := M^{(0)} \qquad \text{length}(age) = 1460$

Read out the various parameter files from "M"

| Ta0m := submatrix(M,0,1459,1,21) | TaC0m := submatrix(M,0,1459,43,63) |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Vm := submatrix(M, 0, 1459, 22, 42) | $\delta a0m := submatrix(M, 0, 1459, 85, 105)$ |

TaH0m := submatrix(M, 0, 1459, 64, 84)

Read out the records according to different selections for the perturbation intensities

$$Ta0 := Ta0m^{\langle n_{T} \rangle} V0 := Vm^{\langle n_{V} \rangle} TaC0 := TaC0m^{\langle n_{T} \rangle} TaH0 := TaH0m^{\langle n_{T} \rangle} \delta a0 := \delta a0m^{\langle n_{1} \rangle}$$

Wind attenuation over distance due to air column spreading ("spacH"):

Then, mean wind speeds over distances to Crete and Haifa are:

$$VC_i := V0_i$$
 $VH_i := \frac{VC_i}{spacH}$ $VCm := \frac{V0 + VC}{2}$ $VHm := \frac{VC + VH}{2}$

Set height so it is lifting air 0 m in summer and 2xhme m in winter (to simulate summer air stability/troposheric descent, which suppresses summer rainfall; see main text).

hme = 610 $he_{i} := hme - \frac{2 \cdot hme}{2} \cdot sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{yeardays}\right)$ min(he) = 0 max(he) = 1220 hme is used to tune the model to give correct rainfall values for Crete, returned below

set selector for "height" so that the value "maxhei" is returned for the cold events. Because of rounding, the two critical terms are not exactly equal, so need to set a threshold of one tenthousandth (maxheiC and maxheiH are set below on the settings-page, page 9).

$$\operatorname{heightC}_{i} \coloneqq \left| \operatorname{maxheiC} + \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ if } \operatorname{Ta0}_{i} < \operatorname{Ta0mean} + \frac{\operatorname{dTa0}}{2} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{\operatorname{yeardays}}\right) - 0.0001 \right| \qquad \operatorname{heightH}_{i} \coloneqq \left| \operatorname{maxheiH} + \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ if } \operatorname{Ta0}_{i} < \operatorname{Ta0mean} + \frac{\operatorname{dTa0}}{2} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{\operatorname{yeardays}}\right) - 0.0001 \right| \\ \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ otherwise} \right| \qquad \operatorname{heightH}_{i} \coloneqq \left| \operatorname{maxheiH} + \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ if } \operatorname{Ta0}_{i} < \operatorname{Ta0mean} + \frac{\operatorname{dTa0}}{2} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{i \cdot 2\pi}{\operatorname{yeardays}}\right) - 0.0001 \right| \\ \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ otherwise} \right| \qquad \operatorname{he}_{i} \text{ he}_{i} \text$$

In the model, we use a year with one event as the modern "control" year. That way, we can have years that are more benign (no events), and years that are more severe (i.e., 3 or 5 events).

Next calculate air-mass moisture changes

Start with those over the Aegean sector (Northern Greece to Crete)

Following Abbott and Tabony (1985): rewritten to solve for T in Celcius and to give results in Pa:

$$\underset{\mathcal{W}}{\mathbb{L}} := \left(2500.84 - 2.34 \cdot \mathrm{Ts0}_{i}\right) \cdot 10^{3} \qquad \qquad J \ kg^{\text{-}1}$$

Use lapse rate to calculate air T change due to uplift over Crete

$$\Delta TvC_i := \frac{\text{height}C_i}{1000} \cdot LR$$

Calculate saturation equation at sea surface temperature

$$es_i := 10^2 \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (Ts0_i + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(Ts0_i + 273.15)}$$

Then, following Wells (1986; p.83) $qs_i := \frac{es_i}{p - es_i} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right)$ $qa_i := \frac{ea_i}{p - ea_i} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right)$

Values from page 41 (citing Korres et al., 2000) of https://books.google.com.au/books?id=w0bVBgAAQBAJ&pg=PA3 4&lpg=PA34&dq=aegean+and+albedo&source=bl&ots=QAGgvMZ-FQ&sig=65GJ-8H1L-tAa0e9RK4AF0IAur0&hl=en&sa=X&ei=XBEn Ve7WOM6F8gWN-YDQDA&ved=0CE4Q6AEwCA#v=onepage&q= aegean%20and%20albedo&f=false

over Haifa (Israel)and to the mean height of air column D: $\Delta TvH_i := \frac{\text{height}H_i}{1000} \cdot LR$ $TaD0_i := Ta0_i - \frac{0.5 D_i \cdot LR}{1000}$

Calculate saturation equation at air temperature (mean of D) during evaporation

$$ea_i := 10^2 \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (TaD0_i + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(TaD0_i + 273.15)}$$

Calculate evaporation (see Wells and King-Hele, 1990):

 $EC_{i} := -\rho \cdot L_{i} \cdot C \cdot VCm_{i} \cdot \left(qs_{i} - r0_{i} \cdot qa_{i}\right) \cdot 1.26 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m yr}^{1}$ based on 1 W equivalent to 1.26 cm yr⁻¹ = 1.26*10⁻² m yr¹ per unit area (m⁻²).(Wells, 1986, p.127; Garrett et al., 1993) $LHC_{i} := -\rho \cdot L_{i} \cdot C \cdot VCm_{i} \cdot \left(qs_{i} - r0_{i} \cdot qa_{i}\right)$ Watt (= J/s) per m²

Where the latter equation (LH) gives the Latent Heat

Do a scaling check on the daily and annual T loss due to evaporation, versus T gain from insolation

SHC := $4.187 \cdot 10^3 \frac{J}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{K}}$ specific heat capacity of water: See http://www.jgsee.kmutt.ac.th/exell/JEE661/JEE661Lecture2.html $\mu = 8.64 \times 10^4$ no. of seconds in a day: $\mu := 60.60.24$

For SST & SSS changes, we here choose to specifically calculate these for the Aegean (down to Crete), where we have observations.

 $\Delta \text{heat}_\text{evap}_i := \frac{\left(\text{LHC}_i\right) \cdot \mu}{\text{SHC}} \qquad \frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{K}}{\text{m}^2} \qquad \Delta \text{heat}_\text{tot}_i := \frac{\left(\text{Ins}_i + \text{LHC}_i\right) \cdot \mu}{\text{SHC}}$

 $\Delta Tstot_i := \frac{\Delta heat_tot_i}{1.040 \cdot mld \cdot 1000}$

LH*m gives the daily heat loss in Joules/m^2

We are looking at a loss per m² from a surface layer of "mld" thickness, i.e., from mld m^3 of water, which is about 1.040*mld*10^3 kg

Daily change in surface water T due to evaporative heat loss:

First we look at the annual T loss due to evaporation ann evapcha in the 'control' years (i.e., 1-event years):

ange :=
$$\sum_{i=365}^{2 \cdot 365 - 1} \Delta Tsevap_i$$
 ann_evapchange = -15.001

In measurements, seasonal SST range is about 10 degrees (13 to 23 deg C -see above) - and our Evaporation-caused T loss must be approx. similar

 $\Delta Tsevap_i := \frac{\Delta heat_evap_i}{1.040 \cdot mld \cdot 1000}$

2.365 - 1 $\sum \Delta T \text{stot}_i \text{ annchange} = -2.961$ Next, the total annual T change (after insolation warming is added) is: annchange := i = 365

So the annual T loss from an "mld" m layer of water is given, just from evaporative cooling. The observed Aegean range of 10 deg C is closely found when mld = 100. Clearly, evaporative heat loss explains most of the seasonal cycle of T in the surface waters of the Aegean. SST cycles back up due to irradiation. So we can satisfactorily view the seasonal cycle this way, and only calculate the SST change anomalies associated with perturbations to the regular seasonal evaporation cycle, due to imposed polar air outbreaks.

By bringing in realistic insolation values, the annual net change is small - just as it should be (seeing that we are not accounting for conductive heat loss, or heat advection). Clearly, the vast bulk of the energy for evaporation comes from the insolation (as it should be).

In the "event" years, we find:

We can also calculate the Aegean annual mean salinity anomalies:

where "mld" is the mixed laver

5

depth set in the starting

conditions

ann_evapchange_0event :=
$$\sum_{i=0}^{364} \Delta Tsevap_i$$
 annchange0event := $\sum_{i=0}^{364} \Delta Tstot_i$ $dSSS_i := \frac{SSS1 \cdot mld}{mld + \frac{EC_i}{365}} - SSS1$ $\Delta SSS0 := \sum_{i=0}^{364} dSSS_i$ ann_evapchange_0event = -14.283 annchange0event = -2.243 $\Delta SSS0 = 0.9692$

$$ann_evapchange_3event := \sum_{i=2\cdot365}^{(3\cdot365)-1} \Delta Tsevap_i \quad annchange3event := \sum_{i=2\cdot365}^{(3\cdot365)-1} \Delta Tstot_i \quad \Delta SSS1 := \sum_{i=365}^{(2\cdot365)-1} dSSS_i \quad \Delta SSS3 := \sum_{i=2\cdot365}^{(3\cdot365)-1} dSSS_i \quad \Delta SSS3 = 1.1369$$

$$ann_evapchange_5event := \sum_{i=3\cdot365}^{(4\cdot365)-1} \Delta Tsevap_i \quad annchange5event := \sum_{i=3\cdot365}^{(4\cdot365)-1} \Delta Tstot_i \quad \Delta SSS3 := \sum_{i=3\cdot365}^{(4\cdot365)-1} dSSS_i \quad \Delta SSS3 = 1.2401$$
So we find annual SST change anomalies of the order $annchange0event - annchange = 0.719 \quad degrees C \quad \Delta SSS0 - \Delta SSS1 = -0.049 \quad psu$

$$annchange5event - annchange = -1.753 \quad \Delta SSS1 = 0.119 \quad annchange5event - annchange = -3.273 \quad \Delta SSS1 = 0.222$$

Bear in mind that, in SST, the events in proxy data seem to be of the order of 1 to 2 deg C, so we would want to see approx agreement here.

Calculate the moisture addition to the incoming air (added to the initial moisture load). To do so, the distance over which evaporation adds moisture is Le for the entire distance to Haifa, and half that (Le/2) for the distance to Crete.

We are adding flux E (in daily proportions, so E/365) into air column of depth D, temperature Ta0, and relative humidity r. Consequently, r moves toward saturation.

Travel time over water: Crete =
$$TtC_i := \frac{LeC}{VCm_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu}$$
 days Haifa = $TtH_i := \frac{LeH}{VHm_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu}$ days The 1/ μ term scales things up to measures per day (m is 86400 seconds in a day).
dayEadditionC_i := $\frac{(-EC)_i}{365}$ in m/day
addition to the air, by weight (per unit area)
dayEadwC_i := dayEadditionC_i \cdot 1000 in kg of freshwater per m^2
r0*qa is expressed in (10^-3) kg water per kg of air So in a colum of thickness D, there is a mass of moisture per m^2 as determined D*r0*qa/Dmean(weight of air per m^3):
Density of air at sea level = ρ $\rho = 1.27$ kg m⁻³ (at mean sea level pressure = 1013 mbar)

Work out mean pressure and density for D

$$pmean_{i} := p \cdot e^{\frac{0.5 \cdot D_{i}}{7400}}$$
Pa
$$\rhomean_{i} := \rho \cdot e^{\frac{0.5 \cdot D_{i}}{7400}}$$

$$TaDC0_i := TaC0_i - \frac{0.5 D_i \cdot LR}{1000}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}_{i}}{\mathbf{00}}$$
 $\frac{\mathbf{kg}}{\mathbf{m}^{3}}$

$$p(z) = p0 \cdot e^{\frac{z}{H}}$$
 $\rho(z) = \rho 0 \cdot e^{\frac{z}{H}}$ where H=7.4 km

http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/people/faculty/djj/book/boo kchap2.html

Calculate saturation state for Haifa Temps

$$TaDH0_{i} := TaH0_{i} - \frac{0.5 D_{i} \cdot LR}{1000}$$
These are needed to calculate saturation pressures at mean Temp of column D

$$eaC_{i} := 10^{2} \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (TaDC0_{i} + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(TaDC0_{i} + 273.15)} eaH_{i} := 10^{2} \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (TaDH0_{i} + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(TaDH0_{i} + 273.15)} eaH_{i} := 10^{2} \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (TaDH0_{i} + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(TaDH0_{i} + 273.15)} eaH_{i} := \frac{eaH_{i}}{pmean_{i} - eaH_{i}} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right)$$

Because we assume specific humidity is constant through D, initial moisture contained in D is:

and Max moisture contained at the $M0_i := \rho mean_i \cdot r0_i \cdot qa_i \cdot D_i - \frac{kg}{2}$ $MmaxC_{i} := \rho mean_{i} \cdot 1 \cdot qaC_{i} \cdot D_{i} \qquad MmaxH_{i} := \rho mean_{i} \cdot 1 \cdot qaH_{i} \cdot D_{i}$ end-points (C and H) is:

Now add the daily evap over Tt timesteps, and then can calculate the saturation state again. We will assume that up to Tt*dayEadw is added, OR less until r endvalue (rend) =1

max moisture
potential for Crete airActual moisture at end of
passagespecific humidity in the colum
specific humidity in the columMendC_a_i := MmaxC_iMendC_b_i :=
$$\left(M0_i \cdot \frac{\mu VCm_i}{LeC} + dayEadwC_i \right) \cdot TtC_i$$
Note that traveltime is in DAYS!MendC_i := MendC_b_i if MendC_b_i < MendC_a_iRelative humidity of the air
(at Temp of meanD) at Crete: $rendC_i := \frac{MendC_i}{MmaxC_i}$

This is at the surface, at Ta0. At higher levels T is lower and so rend (as r) is higher, even if air and vapour are well mixed, because of lapse rate, and because we assume constant nn of thickness D!

Work out precip when Ta drops due to uplift of the air by "heightC" for Crete, or "heightH" for Haifa

$$\frac{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{height} C_{1}}{7400} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{height} C_{1}}{7400} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{height} H_{1}}{\text{pmeanup} H_{1} := p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{7400}}} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{height} H_{1}}{7400} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{ height} H_{1}}{740} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ height} H_{1}}{7400} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ D}_{1} + \text{ height} H_{1}}{740} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ Height} H_{1}}{740} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ Height} H_{1}}{740} \qquad \underbrace{0.5 \text{ Hei$$

SETTINGS PAGE

Repeat values (set on page 32) for the adjustable parameters for experiments:

| mixed layer depth | mld = 100 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Vinc := $n_{V} \cdot 1$ | Vinc = 10 | max(V) = 1V |
| $cool := n_T \cdot 1$ | cool = 9 | min(TaC0) = 6 $min(TaH0) = 5$ |
| $\Delta \delta a := n_i - 0.1$ | $\Delta \delta a = 0$ | |

Vinc up to 10 would even be reasonable as Bora winds can be consistent at 17 m/s http://www.istrianet.org/istria/geosciences/meteorology/winds/bora-adriatic.htm

Cooling may be set up to 9-10 deg C for an event as the wind entering is feezing (Casford et al., 2003). Below we argue why 6 seems the most representaitve, given the isotope results versus isotope data.

Setting (once!) values for model tuning:

| maxheiC = 5000 $maxheiH = 6500$ | Ben Ami et al. (2015 Nat. Haz. E least stable conditions was thinn that 5 km is representative. Sam Ganot et al. (2007 GRL) reports | arth Syst. Sci.) did a thunderstrom study and found that convective layer thickness in est, at around 5 km, so set that value. Also Galvin et al (2011 Weather) seem to suggest e value from Altaratz et al. (2001 Monthly Weather Rev). observations for Cyprus Low systems reaching at least 6.5 km |
|--|--|--|
| mld ≡ 100 | sea mixed layer depth | |
| LR = 6.5 | Lapse rate over Israel typically betwee | en 6.5 and 7 (see main text) |
| spacH = 1.595 | SPATIAL expansion of column D, via This means we are adding 1/spac tin | a an 'apparent' height calculation with a multiplier nes the moisture to our column D through evaporation |
| Need to tune this manually (once!) | "spacH" is used for tuning to give The value for Crete is kept to 1, throu using spacH. We use spacH as a tuning factor for relative changes in the event years. | annual P values similar to the observed annual P values, for control years. Jgh tuning with "hme" above. That is then kept constant, and Haifa values are tuned the control years, and then keep it constant while doing scenarios that look at Note that wind speeds are proportionally changed (in a linear manner) to ensure |
| δsw0 ≡ 1.5 | conservation of mass. Initial surface sea-water δ sw: | should be close to 1.5 permil, after https://data.giss.nasa.gov/o18data/ |

continued from p.8

$$eacH_{i} := 10^{2} \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot (TaDH0_{i} - \Delta TvH_{i} + 273.15)^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln(TaDH0_{i} - \Delta TvH_{i} + 273.15)}$$

Now we have moisture load MendH coming in, and the max. moisture load M1H that <u>might</u> be held in uplifted air at relH of 1.

The difference between MendH and M1H (when POSITIVE) is a surplus of water that needs to rain out.

$$M1H_{i} := \rho meanupH_{i} \cdot 1 \cdot qacH_{i} \cdot D_{i} \qquad \frac{kg}{m^{2}} \qquad Fraction of remaining atm. vapour: FH_{i} := \frac{M1H_{i}}{MendH_{i}} \qquad FH_{i} := \begin{bmatrix} FH_{i} & \text{if } FH_{i} \leq 1\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

The difference between Mend and M1 both for C and for H is the amount of precipitation that has to follow uplift, in kg/m². Thus we can calculate P in mm for each region by considering that weight in mm of P over a sq m.

Precip amounts (in mm per m²) then are:

 $qacH_{i} \coloneqq \frac{eacH_{i}}{pmeanupH_{i} - eacH_{i}} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right)$

$$PC_{i} := \begin{vmatrix} MendC_{i} - M1C_{i} & if MendC_{i} > M1C_{i} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{vmatrix} PH_{i} := \begin{vmatrix} MendH_{i} - M1H_{i} & if MendH_{i} > M1H_{i} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{vmatrix}$$

$$1 \text{ kg/m}^2 = 1 \text{ mm/m}^2$$

Annual rainfall for Heraklion (PC) is **500** mm (see link above with T data) (of which 70 in summer)

Annual rainfall (PH) for Haifa **500** mm (Climatemps) Jerusalem (measured) is 590 mm (amost 0 in summer)



Haifa 2.3 Annual P control:

 $\sum_{i=365}^{2\cdot 365-1} PH_i = 504.99$

The blue highlighted numbers are tuned with hme and spacH - we set things up so we get a reasonable match for the 1-anomaly case



To determine E-P in Crete *at sea level* (e.g., Heraklion), we also need Evaporative loss there (in mm/day). The loops below determine a matrix of Evap values at 100 ALTITUDE increments up to 1000 m altitude, so we could use results for higher locations too :

For Crete:
EmmdayC :=
$$\begin{cases}
\text{for } i \in 0.. \text{ yearN yeardays} - 1 \\
\text{for } k \in 0.. 10
\end{cases}$$

$$L_{i,k} \leftarrow \left[2500.84 - 2.34 \cdot \left(\text{TaC0}_{i} - \frac{k \cdot 100}{1000} \cdot \text{LR}\right)\right] \cdot 10^{3} \\
eac_{i,k} \leftarrow 10^{2} \cdot e^{55.17 - 6803 \cdot \left[\left(\text{TaC0}_{i} - \frac{k \cdot 100}{1000} \cdot \text{LR}\right) + 273.15\right]^{-1} - 5.07 \cdot \ln\left[\left(\text{TaC0}_{i} - \frac{k \cdot 100}{1000} \cdot \text{LR}\right) + 273.15\right] \\
eac_{i,k} \leftarrow 10^{2} \cdot e^{26} \\
eac_{i,k} \leftarrow \frac{eac_{i,k}}{p - eac_{i,k}} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right) \\
Emmday_{i,k} \leftarrow \frac{-\rho \cdot L_{i,k} \cdot C \cdot \text{VCm}_{i} \left(\text{qac}_{i,k} - \text{rendC}_{i} \cdot \text{qac}_{i,k}\right) \cdot 1.26 \cdot 10^{-2}}{365} \cdot 1000 \\
\text{Emmday}
\end{cases}$$
For Haifa:
EmmdayH :=
$$\begin{bmatrix}
\text{for } i \in 0.. \text{ yearN yeardays} = 1
\end{cases}$$

For Halfa. Eminday H := for
$$i \in 0...$$
 yearly yeardays = 1
for $k \in 0...10$
$$L_{i,k} \leftarrow \left[2500.84 - 2.34 \cdot \left(TaH0_{i} - \frac{k \cdot 100}{1000} \cdot LR\right)\right] \cdot 10^{3}$$
$$eac_{i,k} \leftarrow 10^{2} \cdot e$$
$$qac_{i,k} \leftarrow 10^{2} \cdot e$$
$$qac_{i,k} \leftarrow \frac{eac_{i,k}}{p - eac_{i,k}} \cdot \left(\frac{18.0153}{28.965}\right)$$
$$Emmday_{i,k} \leftarrow \frac{-p \cdot L_{i,k} \cdot C \cdot VHm_{i} \cdot \left(qac_{i,k} - rendH_{i} \cdot qac_{i,k}\right) \cdot 1.26 \cdot 10^{-2}}{365} \cdot 1000$$

For altitudes of 0, 100, 200 ...1000 m above Heraklion and Haifa, we can now determine the E-P balance:

For Crete: $netFC_{i,k} := EmmdayC_{i,k} + PC_i$ in mm For Levant: $netFH_{i,k} := EmmdayH_{i,k} + PH_i$ in mm

Net E-P balances (in mm) at sea level (k=0)



Because all events are in winter, the summer values don't change between different years.

Note that all values are in mm (not in mm/y), so annual values need to be obtained by addition of summer and winter values.

k := 0..10

Now use Lapse Rates and surface temperatures to approximate snow-line altitudes in Crete and Haifa/Levant. This is important because key evidence for the RCC events consists of Little Ice Age reports of snow and frost. Also today, outbreak events are characterised by snow in unusual places (Athens, Istanbul).



Xoplaki et al (2001 Clim. Cha.): "From the scattered data found for 1675-1715 and 1780-1830, the winter and spring climate in southern Balkans and the eastern Mediterranean, especially during the LMM, can be characterised as cooler and relatively rainier with a higher variability compared with the recent decades."

Event cooling factor "cool" is set on the Key operator values page (32)

Snowline today in the western Taurus Mountains, Turkey is at about 3400-3700 m.

Cretan mountains reach up to almost 2500 m. Typically, Crete's three key mountain ranges reach above 2000 m. Event periods had persistent snow on the ranges, so snowline altitude 2000 m or lower.

At "cool" = 0, lowest snowline is at 2460 m. At "cool" = 1 it is at 2315 m. At "cool" = 2 it is at 2152 m. At "cool" = 3 it is at 1990 m. At "cool" = 4 it is at 1826 m. At "cool" = 5 it is at 1662 m. At "cool" = 6 it is at 1500 m. So using both snowline and SST, we estimate "cool" > 3 C.

Today, Thessaloniki air T range through year: 5 -26.5 deg C. So to get long-lasting frosts in winter in Thessaloniki (at sea level in northernmost Aegean), we need "cool" > 5 deg C.

Xoplaki et al. (2001) write: In Thessaloniki, the winter of 1954 was the coldest for the 1901-1998 period. Thessaloniki reported 25 snowy days from December 1953 to February 1954 (mean of the period 1950-1998 is 8 snowfall events; Mparsakis, 1999) and two times the normal amount of precipitation compared to the long-term average (1901-1998) of 120 mm. In addition, for Athens below normal temperatures and above normal precipitation values were measured

Note: Most extreme events:

If snow is to fall and hold (for the duration of the event) in Athens, Heraklion, etc. (coastal cities in S Aegean), then we need "cool" to be ~15 degrees C. Winter temps in Thessaloniki would then plummet to around -10 deg C. P events would be extreme, causing much flash-flooding (NB. that is true already at "cool" = 5). The NOAA weather log for 1-10 december 2001 (below) suggests that such events do happen! If sustained/repeated in winter, this would give about 2.6 deg C SST change in winter relative to Control - again this is NOT impossible.

Good middle way uses event-mean "cool" = 9 C, understanding that, for severe extremes, "cool" may (briefly) reach 15 deg C. For "cool" = 9, the Levant amount effect in δ 180 is -0.5 permil/100 mm of rainfall, as observed (see main text).

ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/extremeevents/specialreports/Climate-Watch-December-2001.pdf

Weather Log - December 1-10th, 2001

According to media reports coastal flooding in Turkey has left at least three people dead. Hundreds of homes and businesses have been drenched by five consecutive days of rain, and flood waters have swept away roads, a bridge and a highway and carried overturned vehicles into the sea. Heavy flooding also was reported in the Mediterranean resort city of Antalya and in the Aegean port city of Izmir.

Weather Log - December 11-20th, 2001

According to media reports, Catalonia - in northeast Spain - has remained isolated from the rest of Spain for two days after heavy snow trapped motorists and residents. Further south, in the regions of La Rioja, Castilla and Leon (NW Spain), temperatures fell to minus 10 Celsius (14 degrees Fahrenheit). Spain's Meteorological Institute said the severe cold wave was expected to ease around the 17th and 18th. Heavy snowfalls have caused traffic chaos in Italy, southern France and Spain. Spain suffered the worst problems caused by snow for 15 years, and temperatures in Venice remained well below freezing. Nearly a dozen villages in the remote mountains of northern Corsica remained inaccessible. In Eastern Europe, snow storms, gale- force winds and heavy rain swept across Greece and Turkey on the 17th, as large parts of Europe remained in the icy grip of winter. Two days of heavy snowfall and temperatures as low as -10C forced the closure of all northern Greek airports, including Thessaloniki international airport, and all schools in northwestern Greece. In Poland, cold weather has killed 117 people since the beginning of October, officials say.

According to preliminary reports, the world's record high sea level pressure of 1083.8 mb (32.01 inches) recorded at Agata in Siberia on 12/31/1968 appears to have been shattered on December 19, 2001 in Tosontsengel in northwest Mongolia. The city is about 420 miles (680 km) west of the capital city of Ulanbataar. At 2 am local time on the 19th, (18/1800 UTC) the sea level pressure rose to 1085.6 mb (32.06 inches). The town is situated in a protected valley which allows cold air drainage and radiational cooling which leads to high pressure values. At the time of the pressure reading the the temperature was minus 40.5 degrees C (minus 41 degrees F) and there was an almost 4 cm (2 inch) uneven layer of loose dry snow covering the ground completely. The day's low of minus 42.3 degrees C (minus 44 degrees F) and high of minus 32.8 degrees C (minus 27 degrees F) was well below the monthly normal of minus 18 degrees C (0 degrees F).

Now determine summer and winter P and E at Crete (C) and Haifa (H), at sea level:

$$\begin{split} & \text{SumPC0} := \sum_{i=0}^{182} \text{PC}_{i} & \text{WinPC0} := \sum_{i=183}^{364} \text{PC}_{i} & \text{SumPCnoc} := \sum_{i=365}^{365 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} \\ & \text{SumPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365}^{2.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} & \text{SumPCfive} := \sum_{i=3.365}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} \\ & \text{SumPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365}^{1.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} & \text{SumPCfive} := \sum_{i=3.365}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} \\ & \text{SumPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365}^{2.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} & \text{WinPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183}^{3.365 + 163} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumPConc} := \sum_{i=2.365}^{2.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} & \text{WinPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183}^{3.46 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumPConc} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} & \text{WinPCthree} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183}^{3.46 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumPConc} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} & \text{WinPCfive} := (\frac{4.365)^{-1}}{\sum_{i=3.365 + 183}^{3.46 + 183 - 1} \text{PC}_{i} & \text{WinPConc} := (\frac{2.365 + 183}{\sum_{i=3.365 + 183}^{3.46 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayC}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.265 + 183 - 1} \text{PH}_{i} & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PH}_{i} \\ & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=2.365}^{2.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PH}_{i} & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PH}_{i} \\ & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} \text{PH}_{i} & \text{SumPH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=2.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0} := \sum_{i=3.365 + 183 - 1}^{3.365 + 183 - 1} (\text{EmmdayH}^{(0)})_{i} \\ & \text{SumEH0}$$

$$WinPHone := \sum_{i=365+183}^{(2\cdot365)-1} PH_i \quad WinEHone := \sum_{i=365+183}^{(2\cdot365)-1} \left(EmmdayH^{\langle 0 \rangle}\right)_i \quad WinPHfive := \sum_{i=3\cdot365+183}^{(4\cdot365)-1} PH_i \quad WinEHfive := \sum_{i=3\cdot365+183}^{(4\cdot365)-1} \left(EmmdayH^{\langle 0 \rangle}\right)_i = \frac{(4\cdot365)-1}{(EmmdayH^{\langle 0 \rangle})_i} = \frac{(4\cdot365)-1}{(EmmdayH^{\langle$$

Calculate stable O-isotope changes

Use Rohling (1999 Paleoceanography) calculation for δe , and hence fractionation between δM and δe :

Resistance coefficient Ratio = 1.0142 after Gonfiantini (1986), but some say this is too large (see Rohling 1999), so we use this to tune the 1-event "control" year rainfall deltas to about -6. I get close to this when I set Ratio = 1.0105 (for cool=6), or Ratio=1.0098 (for cool=10): we settle for 1.010

$$\alpha evap_{i} := e^{1.137 \cdot (Ts0_{i}+273.5)^{-2} 10^{3} - 0.4156 \cdot (Ts0_{i}+273.15)^{-1} - 2.0667 \cdot 10^{-3}}$$
Ratio := 1.010

Aegean $\delta e:$

$$\delta eAeg_{i} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 + \delta sw0 \cdot 10^{-3}}{\alpha evap_{i}} - \frac{qa_{i}}{qs_{i}} \cdot r0_{i} \cdot (1 + \delta a0_{i} \cdot 10^{-3}) \\ \frac{1 - \frac{qa_{i}}{qs_{i}} \cdot r0_{i}}{(1 - \frac{qa_{i}}{qs_{i}} \cdot r0_{i})} \cdot Ratio \end{bmatrix} - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot 10^{3}$$
Condensation fractionations for the O isotopes:
$$fraccC_{i} := 1000 \left[e^{1.137 \cdot (TaDC0_{i} - \Delta TvC_{i} + 273.5)^{-2} 10^{3} - 0.4156 \cdot (TaDC0_{i} - \Delta TvC_{i} + 273.15)^{-1} - 2.0667 \cdot 10^{-3} - 1 \right]$$

$$\operatorname{fraccH}_{i} := 1000 \left[e^{1.137 \cdot \left(\operatorname{TaDH0}_{i} - \Delta \operatorname{TvH}_{i} + 273.5 \right)^{-2} 10^{3} - 0.4156 \cdot \left(\operatorname{TaDH0}_{i} - \Delta \operatorname{TvH}_{i} + 273.15 \right)^{-1} - 2.0667 \cdot 10^{-3} - 1.0667 \cdot 10^$$

Initial rainfall δ values: $\delta PC1_i := \delta MendC_i + fraccC_i$

$$\delta PC$$
 at **end**point of Rayleigh distillation
 $\delta PCx_i := \delta MendC_i + fraccC_i \cdot ln(fC_i) + fraccC_i$









Otherwise seasonal means get skewed.

NaN otherwise



Mean rainfall δP values for Levant (Haifa):

Disable the functions when the code flags that "Sub" in the loops is empty

ann&PHO :=
$$\begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 0.365 - 1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$$
 sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 0.182, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ win $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 183, 365 - 1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ win $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 365, 2.365 - 1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 365, 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 365, 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ win $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 2.365, 1365 - 1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ win $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 2.365, 163, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 2.365, 163, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 2.365, 163, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ win $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, 3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(filterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, -3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(FilterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, -3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(FilterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, -3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(FilterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, -3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow mean(FilterNaN(Sub)) \end{bmatrix}$ sum $\delta PHO := \begin{bmatrix} Sub \leftarrow submatrix(\delta PH, -3.365, + 183, -1, 0, 0) \\ y \leftarrow me$

<u>Holocene runs, using GISP2 K[±] series to identify periods with enhanced-frequency northerly air outbreaks</u> (Rohling et al., 2002 Clim. Dyn.)



First, a little calculation of "negative degree days" at a selected altitude "alt" in Crete. It assumes that negative degree days are indicative of the potential for snowfield development.

Set preferred altitude alt := 2000 $TC_{alt_i} := \begin{bmatrix} TaC0_i - \frac{alt}{1000} \cdot LR & \text{if } TaC0_i - \frac{alt}{1000} \cdot LR \le 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$

$$SC0 := \sum_{i=183}^{(365)-1} TC_{alt_i}$$

$$SC1 := \sum_{i=365+183}^{(2\cdot365)-1} TC_{alt_i}$$

$$SC3 := \sum_{i=2\cdot365+183}^{(3\cdot365)-1} TC_{alt_i}$$

$$SC5 := \sum_{i=3\cdot365+183}^{(4\cdot365)-1} TC_{alt_i}$$

SC0 = 0 SC1 = -77 SC3 = -111.638 SC5 = -231

Now make time-series using 0,1,3,5 to select the year characteristics as defined above.

$$\Delta SST_{h} \coloneqq \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta SST_{none} & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 & \text{winPC}_{h} \coloneqq & \text{WinPC0} & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 & \Delta SSS_{h} \coloneqq & \Delta SSS_{h} \coloneqq & \Delta SSS_{h} - \Delta SSS_{h} & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 & \text{WinPCone} & \text{if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 & \text{WinPCone} & \text{if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 & \text{WinPChree} & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 & \text{WinPChree} & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WinPCfive} & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h$$

winPH
h :=WinPH0 if
$$-0.01 < y_h < 0.01$$
win δ PCh :=win δ PC0 if $-0.01 < y_h < 0.01$ win δ PHh if $-0.01 < y_h < 0.01$ WinPHone if $0.99 < y_h < 1.01$ win δ PCone if $0.99 < y_h < 1.01$ win δ PCone if $0.99 < y_h < 1.01$ win δ PHh if $-0.01 < y_h < 0.01$ WinPHthree if $2.99 < y_h < 3.01$ win δ PCthree if $2.99 < y_h < 3.01$ win δ PCthree if $4.99 < y_h < 5.01$ win δ PHh if $4.99 < y_h < 5.01$

$$ann\delta PH_{h} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} ann\delta PH0 & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ ann\delta PHone & \text{if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 \\ ann\delta PHthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 \\ ann\delta PHfive & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$sumEC_{h} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} SumEC0 & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ SumECone & \text{if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 \\ SumECthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 \\ SumECfive & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$winEC_{h} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} WinEC0 & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ WinECone & \text{if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 \\ WinECthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 \\ WinECthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$winEC_{h} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} WinEC0 & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ WinECthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 \\ WinECthree & \text{if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$winEH_{h} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} WinEH_{h} & \text{if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ WinECfive & \text{if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sumEH}_{h} &\coloneqq \text{SumEH0} \text{ if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 & \text{wineH}_{h} &\coloneqq \text{wineH0} \text{ if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 & \text{NDDC}_{h} &\coloneqq \text{SC0} \text{ if } -0.01 < y_{h} < 0.01 \\ \text{SumEHone if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 & \text{WineHone if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 & \text{SC1} \text{ if } 0.99 < y_{h} < 1.01 \\ \text{SumEHthree if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 & \text{WineHthree if } 2.99 < y_{h} < 3.01 & \text{WineHthree if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{WineHthree if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 & \text{SC5} \text{ if } 4.99 < y_{h} < 5.01 \end{aligned}$$

$$ann TaH0 := \frac{1}{365} \sum_{i=0}^{364} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad win TaH0 := \frac{1}{183} \sum_{i=183}^{364} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad ann TaHone := \frac{1}{365} (\sum_{i=365}^{(2:365)-1} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad win TaHone := \frac{1}{183} (\sum_{i=365+183}^{(2:365)-1} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad win TaHone := \frac{1}{163} (\sum_{i=365}^{(2:365)-1} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad ann TaHone := \frac{1}{163} (\sum_{i=365}^{(2:365)-1} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad ann TaHone := \frac{1}{183} (\sum_{i=3.365}^{(2:365)-1} (TaH0^{(0)})_{i} \quad ann TaHone := \frac{1}{183} (\sum_{i=3$$

Define annual values

 $annPC_{h} := sumPC_{h} + winPC_{h} \qquad annPH_{h} := sumPH_{h} + winPH_{h} \qquad annEC_{h} := sumEC_{h} + winEC_{h} \qquad annEH_{h} := sumEH_{h} + winEH_{h} = sumEH_{h} = sumEH_{h} + winEH_{h} = sumEH_{h} =$

annPCone := SumPCone + WinPCone annPHone := SumPHone + WinPHone

Determine Haifa carbonate O-isotopes (using δP and Temp - both for winter because that's when the precip happens. Hence, this calculation does NOT account for effects of groundwater evaporation)

win
$$\delta$$
PHcarb := $(win\delta$ PH - win δ PH_{pres}) - $(winTaH - winTaH_{pres})$ ·0.25

Determine smoothed records to approximate recording in natural archives

| Set smoothing factors (y): $sm1 \equiv 50$ | sm2 := 200 | annTaHsm | := ksmooth(t, ar | nnTaH, sm1) | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------|
| Δ SSTsm := ksmooth(t, Δ SST, sm2) | winPCsm := ksmooth(t, | winPC, sm1) | winPHsm := ks | mooth(t,winPH,sm1) | winEHsm := ksmo | oth(t,winEH,sm1) | |
| $\Delta SSSsm := ksmooth(t, \Delta SSS, sm2)$ $\Delta \delta swsm := ksmooth(t, \Delta \delta sw, sm2)$ | ann $\delta PCsm := ksmooth(t win \delta PHsm := ksmooth(t t$ | , winδPC, sm1) , winδPH, sm1) | <pre>sumECsm := ksmooth(t,sumEC,sm1) winECsm := ksmooth(t,winEC,sm1)</pre> | |) annδPHsm := ksmo sumEHsm := ksmo | ooth(t,annδPH,sm1 ooth(t,sumEH,sm1) | 1)) |
| Although these three anomalies are cumulative over the 3 years of each dt step, we are interested in their annual anomalies, which is what we'd record if assume the system rests them each year | we ar. | | annPCsm := k annECsm := k | csmooth(t, annPC, sm1 csmooth(t, annEC, sm1 |) annPHsm := ksmoo) annEHsm := ksmoo | oth(t,annPH,sm1) oth(t,annEH,sm1) | |
| FCsm := ksmooth(t, sumEC + sumPC + w FHsm := ksmooth(t, sumEH + sumPH + w | winδPHsm3 := k winδPHcarbsm3 | smooth(t,annδPl := ksmooth(t,wi | H.sm1) nôPHcarb, 20) | winTaHsm := ksmoo | oth(t,win&PHcarb,s | sm1) | |
| Some records we want in anomalies, re | lative to present value: | ∆SSTsm _{norm} | $:= \Delta SSTsm - \Delta$ | SSTsm _{pres} | annTaHsm _{norm} := annTa | aHsm – annTaHsm | pres |
| $win\delta PHsm_{norm} := win\delta PHsm3 - win\delta PH$ | sm3 _{pres} | ∆SSSsm _{norm} | $:= \Delta SSSsm - \Delta S$ | SSSsm _{pres} | $\Delta \delta swsm_{norm} := \Delta \delta swsm_{norm}$ | $-\Delta \delta swsm_{pres}$ | |
| win δ PHcarbsm _{norm} := win δ PHcarbsm3 - | winδPHcarbsm3 _{pres} | winTaHsm _{nor} | m ≔ winTaHsm | – winTaHsm _{pres} | | | |
| | carbor | nate O-isotope ar | nomaly for 3k | $\Delta win\delta carbsm_{3k} := w$ | inδPHcarbsm _{norm} 2775 | - winδPHcarbsm _{no} | rm |
| <u>Plots of results</u> | | vent re. to present: | | $\Delta win\delta carbsm_{3k} = -C$ |).511 | | pres |

Number of degree days record is multi-decadally smoothed to allow for longevity of snow fields (potential to exist through summers)





Lake changes

We can see that the annual freshwater budgets per single square meter are always negative in both locations. So to have sustained lakes, both locations need catchment areas that are larger than the areas of the lakes themselves:

Cretan lake that is at current steady state, must have a cacthment-to-lakearea ratio that compensates for the offset between E and P.

Levantine lake that is at current steady state, must have a cacthment-to-lakearea ratio that compensates for the offset between E and P.

For these parameters, calculate lake-level changes through time, in the following:

Dead Sea specific case For parameter settings, see Rohling (2013 QSR) $\varepsilon = \frac{LA}{LAmod} = 1.25 + 0.025 \cdot LL$ when LL>100 m (i.e., >-18m relative to present) Φ setDS := 40 $\varepsilon = \frac{LA}{LA \mod} = 1$ $ADSmod := 810 \cdot 10^{6}$ when LL<100m (i.e., =<-18m relative to present) current evap reduction factor due to S; at *α* := 0.5 near modern lake levels this is close to
$$\begin{split} \text{LLDS} &\coloneqq & \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{LL}_{0} \leftarrow 0 \\ \text{LA}_{0} \leftarrow \text{ADSmod} \\ \text{CA} \leftarrow (\Phi \text{setDS} - 1) \cdot \text{LA}_{0} \\ & & (\Phi \text{setDS} - 1) \cdot \text{LA}_{0} \\ & & (\Phi \text{setDS} - 1) \cdot \text{LA}_{0} \\ & & (\Phi \text{setDS} - 1) \cdot \text{LA}_{0} + \Phi \text{setDS} \cdot \frac{\text{winPH}_{0}}{\text{evapfact}} \\ & & (\Phi \text{setDS} - 1) \cdot \text{LA}_{p-1} \right| \end{split}$$
0.5 (see Rohling 2013) evapfact := 3.25 ratio of P over preserved P (due to evapotransp loss), set close to 3, but tune so that modern lake level = about 118 m. $LLDS_{pres} = 119.362$ $\Delta LLDS_h := LLDS_h - LLDS_{pres}$ Δ LLDSsm := ksmooth(t, Δ LLDS, sm1) 0.025 assumes that lake will fill catchment area $LA_{p} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} (1.0 + 0.025 \cdot \Delta LL_{p-1}) \cdot LA_{p-1} & \text{if } LL_{p-1} \geq 10 \\ LA_{p-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ linearly with changes in height (1/40) $\Phi_{p} \leftarrow \frac{CA + 1}{LA_{p}}$ $\Delta LL_{p} \leftarrow \frac{\alpha \operatorname{sumEH}_{p} + \alpha \operatorname{winEH}_{p} + \Phi_{p} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{winPH}_{p}}{\operatorname{evapfact}}}{1000} \cdot dt$ $LL_{p} \leftarrow \Delta LL_{p} + LL_{p-1}$ Lake-level changes relative to Present 10 ∆LLDSsm_h $-\Delta SSTsm_{norm}$ $\Delta LLHsm_h$ -10 1.10^{4} $1.2 \cdot 10^4$ 0 2000 4000 6000 8000 30 - t_h

KEY RESULTS

| Max. Aegean mixed layer co | ooling (deg. C): | Minimum snowline altitude | es: |
|---|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| $\min(\Delta SSTsm_{norm}) = -1.191$ | | min(Salt_Crete) = 923 | min(Salt_Lev) = 769 |
| $max(\Delta SSTsm_{norm}) = 1.299$ | | | |
| Apparent amount effects: permil per 100 mm | 1 | | |
| $me\Delta C = -0.141$ | $me\Delta H = -0.5$ $me\Delta H$ | should be at around -0.5 according to B | ar-Matthews |
| | | | |
| Control year Precip values: | | | |
| annPCone = 504.918 | annPHone = 504.99 | | |
| | | | |
| Mean annual O-isotope valu | es for different types of y | ear: | |
| $ann\delta PC0 = -6.04$ | ann δ PH0 = -5.351 | | |
| ann $\delta PCone = -6.172$ | ann δ PHone = -5.658 | NB., "one" is the control scenario |) ! |
| $ann\delta PC$ three = -6.398 | $ann\delta PHthree = -6.453$ | | |
| $ann\delta PC five = -6.639$ | ann δ PHfive = -7.097 | | |
| | | | |

 $OUT1 := augment(t, NDDC2ksm, \Delta SSTsm_{norm}, \Delta SSSsm_{norm}, annPC, annPCsm, annEC, annECsm, annPH, annPHsm, annEH, annEHsm)$

 $OUT2 := augment(t, FCsm, FHsm, ann\delta PCsm, ann\delta PHsm, \Delta LLCsm, \Delta LLHsm, y, win\delta PH, win\delta PHsm, ann TaH, ann TaHsm, \Delta LLDSsm)$

```
OUT3 := augment(winTaHsm<sub>norm</sub>, win\deltaPHsm<sub>norm</sub>, win\deltaPHcarbsm<sub>norm</sub>, \Delta \delta swsm_{norm})
```

OUTall := augment(OUT1,OUT2,OUT3)

 $Params1 := augment(cool, Vinc, \Delta \delta a, sm1, sm2, annPCone, annPHone, min(\Delta SSTsm_{norm}), max(\Delta SSTsm_{norm}), min(Ta0), min(Salt_Crete), min(Salt_Lev), me\Delta C, me\Delta H)$ $Params2 := augment(ann\delta PCone, ann\delta PHone, \Delta win\delta carbsm_{3k})$

Params := augment(Params1, Params2)

Key operator values with some notes:

O isotope anomaly advected into region with incoming air during events (NB can be source-water effect due to NAtl 'hosing'):

Check for Thessaloniki frosts during the events:

References in addition to those in the main text:

Garrett, C., Outerbridge, R., Thompson, K., 1993. Inter-annual variability in Mediterranean heat budget and buoyancy fluxes. J. Climatol. 6, 900-910. Mparsakis, S. I., 1999, Synoptic and Thermodynamic Analysis of Snowfall in the Region of Thessaloniki, Master Thesis, University of Thessaloniki (in Greek). Wells, N.C., 1995. Surface heat fluxes in the western equatorial Pacific Ocean. Ann. Geophys., 13, 1047-1053. Wells, N.C., 1986. The Atmosphere and Ocean: a physical introduction, 347pp. Taylor and Francis, Bristol, Pa. Notes to p.4:

Point in proof:

Gat et al. (2005) works through an adjusted "r" for the SST. This method gives the exact same evaporation as my method.

Gat et al. argue to calculate vapour pressure at incoming T, and then calculate how much moisture is imported (M0). Then RECALCULATE relative humidity for the SST, because that's the T at which evaporation would take place. That rel hum will be MUCH lower than the imported rel. hum. (but of course one then needs to use qs rather than qa in the evap calculation). If the model setup is correct, this will give identical answers:

In this extension, we approximate the cloud base, using the "Lifting Condensation Height"

$$hLCLH_{i} := \left(20 + \frac{TaHO_{i}}{5}\right) \cdot \left(100 - 100 \operatorname{rendH}_{i}\right) \qquad hLCLC_{i} := \left(20 + \frac{TaCO_{i}}{5}\right) \cdot \left(100 - 100 \operatorname{rendC}_{i}\right)$$

This uses Lawrence's formula (T in deg. C) for hLCL, the lifting condenstation level height (cloud base) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lifted_condensation_level M. G. Lawrence, "The relationship between relative humidity and the dew point temperature in moist air: A simple conversion and applications", Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 86, 225-233, 2005

During events, the cloud base is seen to drop to sea level, suggesting that widespread fog/icy frost conditions mat prevail.

See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equilibrium_level

Max height of convection is given by Equilibrium Level (or Limit of Convection). Usually is ~ the tropopause; Here we just use the observed cloud top of 5 or 6.5 km.

Note:

When air is not (yet) saturated: dry adiabatic lapse rate applies (-9.8 deg C/km) When air is saturated, moist adiabatic lapse rate applies (closer to -6)